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#### Abstract

Řezáč, M., Pekár, S. \& Johannesen, J. (2008). Taxonomic review and phylogenetic analysis of central European Eresus species (Araneae: Eresidae). - Zoologica Scripta, 37, 263-287. Ladybird spiders (Eresus spp.) have attracted scientific interest since the 18th century, but taxonomical knowledge of the genus is unsatisfactory. Early classification based on colour and size variation divided European Eresus into numerous species. These were later lumped into one predominant morphospecies, Eresus niger/E. cinnaberinus, which could be found from Portugal to Central Asia. Here, we perform a major revision of Eresus from northern and central Europe using morphological, phenological, habitat, distribution and molecular data. Three species, E. kollari, E. sandaliatus and $E$. moravicus sp. n. were distinguished. The name E. niger (originally Aranea nigra) cannot be used as the name $A$. nigra was used for a previous spider species. The name E. cinnaberinus is considered a nomen dubium. The three species differ in size, colour pattern, shape of prosoma and copulatory organs, phenology, and have slightly different habitat requirements. No morphologically intermediate forms were recorded. In contrast to distinct morphology and phenology, the genus is genetically complex. Genetically, the mitochondrial haplotypes of E. sandaliatus and $E$. moravicus sp. n. are monophyletic, whereas those of $E$. kollari are paraphyletic. Eastern central European E. kollari is likely a hybrid lineage between $E$. sandaliatus and the monophyletic western central European $E$. kollari. Because eastern and western European E. kollari are morphologically and phenologically indistinguishable, we did not formally split them. However, detailed population-based research in the future may partition E. kollari into additional species. Corresponding author: Milan Řezáč, Crop Research Institute, Drnovská 507, CZ-161 06 Prague 6-Ruzyně, Czech Republic, E-mail: rezac@vurv.cz Stano Pekár, Institute of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlăřká 2, 61137 Brno, Czech Republic, E-mail: pekar@sci.muni.cz Fes fohannesen, Institut für Zoologie, Abt. V Ökologie, Universitït Mainz, Saarstraße 21, D-55099 Mainz, Germany, E-mail: jesjo@uni-mainz.de


## Introduction

Ladybird spiders, Eresus spp., are certainly among the most beautiful spiders present in central Europe. In recent years, they have become flagship species for the conservation of relic rocky steppes in several European countries, yet their taxonomy remains unresolved. Eresus spiders are characterized by considerable intraspecific variability in colour and size. The most constant specific character is the shape of the copulatory organs. As the differences are tiny, their use in species delimination is only practical when numerous individuals are compared. In central and northern Europe, Eresus spp. are restricted to highly fragmented xerothermic steppe habitats. The spiders possess an elusive lifestyle confined to inconspicuous burrows. Only the adult males can be found on the soil surface for a few weeks each year when they are seeking females (Nørgaard 1941). Males are considerably smaller
than females; the opisthosoma is usually red with two or three pairs of black spots. This aposematic colouration resembling ladybirds protects them against predators during their diurnal search for females (Cloudsley-Thompson 1995). The much larger females live hidden in burrows their entire life and are usually uniformly black. The distinct sexual dimorphism in both colour and size makes it difficult to match sexes of the same species unless both come from the same locality.
Species discrimination in Eresus is further complicated by remarkably limited gene flow (Johannesen et al. 1998). This may act at two levels. First, the behaviour of Eresus spp. may lead to significant genetic differences between neighbouring populations. This is predominately caused by juveniles settling near the maternal nest (ballooning has never been observed) and by restricted male mating-dispersal. Males searching for females have been reported to move, at most,

76 m (Baumann 1997). The second level of divergence can be viewed in a historical context, in which xerothermic steppes, the habitat of Eresus species, expanded and receded in the Palearctic region during the Pleistocene climatic oscillations (Iversen 1964). Historical habitat fragmentation may break down the genetic cohesion of taxa resulting in cryptic speciation via genetic drift (Ramirez 1995; Bond et al. 2001; Ramirez \& Chi 2004). Alternatively, range expansion during interglacial phases may bring previously isolated lineages into secondary contact, which, depending on the interaction between gene flow and selection, may either merge lineages or reinforce differences. These historical processes may have contributed to the diversity (and taxonomical confusion) of Eresus. Therefore, phena demonstrating various degrees of differentiation might occur in the genus Eresus. This is the case for European Eresus, where highly divergent genetic lineages are more or less allopatrically distributed (Johannesen \& Veith 2001).

Taxonomical research of the genus Eresus dates back to 1778, when Martini and Goeze described a male from Bavaria, Germany, and named it Aranea sandaliata. In the following decade, the spectacular Eresus males were described four times under different names without reference to the already described species (Petagna 1787; Olivier 1789; Villers 1789; Rossi 1790). Hahn (1821), Brullé (1832) and Koch (1836) were the first to distinguish more than one Eresus species. However, they used colouration as the only character for discrimination and elevated some infraspecific varieties to the specific level (e.g. Koch 1836, 1846). Due to the remarkable sexual dimorphism, males and females of the same species were given different names (Brullé 1832; Koch 1846). Koch (1850) even established a new genus, Erythrophorus, for Eresus males. Unfortunately, insufficient descriptions and lost type material during this early phase of taxonomical study has brought about considerable confusion in the nomenclature of the genus. Simon first noticed that Eresus forms differ not only in colouration, but also in other characters, for example, the shape of the prosoma and copulatory organs. He distinguished at least 13 species and three subspecies (e.g. Simon 1873, 1895, 1910), but later downgraded some (e.g. Eresus tricolor) to forms of E. cinnaberinus and completely neglected others (e.g. E. annulatus) (Simon 1914). Even during this period, some authors were describing new species without considering contempory knowledge (e.g. Lucas 1864; Franganillo 1918). Kulczyński (1903) and Herman (1879) recorded colour forms without treating them taxonomically. Later, however, the majority of arachnologists ignored this variability and used the names E. cinnaberinus/niger for all specimens from Spain to China, sometimes synonymizing even obviously different species (e.g. E. tristis synonymized with E. cinnaberinus by Nenilin \& Pestova 1986). Modern taxonomic research on Eresus was initiated by the description of E. crassitibialis from the Canary Islands (Wunderlich 1987).

Interestingly, the identity of this species was questioned, even by its own author (Wunderlich 1992). So far, 48 descriptions of new Eresus taxa have been published (Platnick 2007), but only three of them, namely those of Eresus lucasi Simon, 1873, Eresus semicanus Simon, 1908 and Eresus daliensis Yang \& Hu, 2002 (new synonym of Stegodyphus tibialis (O. P.-Cambridge, 1869)), contain descriptions of both sexes.

Due to the complications mentioned above, a generic revision of Eresus is impossible today. Sufficient materials for delimitation of taxa are available from only a few regions, for example, from central and northern Europe. Ratschker \& Bellmann (1995) and Ratschker (1995) made an important contribution to the taxonomy of Eresus from this region. These authors recognized three species, E. cinnaberinus, E. sandaliatus and Eresus sp., which were delimited primarily by male leg colouration and conductor and spermatheca variation. However, the absence of a taxonomic revision, the poor inclusion of several species in E. cinnaberinus, and the very schematic drawings made contemporary arachnologists doubt the validity of this delimitation.

In the present paper, we perform a taxonomical revision based on extensive material from central Europe. We compare morphology, phenology, habitats, distribution and molecular phylogenetics of the taxa. Our study revealed that the genus Eresus in central Europe is composed of at least three species, Eresus kollari Rossi 1846 and E. moravicus sp. n. (prior varieties of E. cinnaberinus) and E. sandaliatus (Martini \& Goeze, 1778). The species are difficult to distinguish based on a single morphological character, but not when using multicharacter analysis. Specific discreteness is corroborated by ecological features such as phenology and distributions.

## Materials and methods

Morphology
We studied 495 males, 220 females and 130 juveniles of E. kollari; 50 males, 19 females and 10 juveniles of E. moravicus sp. n. and 42 males, 60 females and 11 juveniles of $E$. sandaliatus. We used the following morphological characters for species delimitation: colour pattern, body size, shape of the prosoma, and shape of male and female copulatory organs (Table 1). Morphological characters used to distinguish some other Eresus species, as well as some species of the genus Stegodyphus, such as position of eyes and their relative size, relative length of legs and the shape of male forelegs (Simon 1873; Kraus \& Kraus 1988) were uniform among the studied species and thus were not considered.

## Microscopy

To investigate the shape of the palpal organs, palps from each species were dried at room temperature, mounted on a stub, coated with gold and observed by scanning electron microscopy.

Table 1 Morphological characters used for species delimitation in central European Eresus.

| Character | E. kollari morphotype | E. moravicus sp. n . | E. sandaliatus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both sexes |  |  |  |
| Cephalic part of prosoma in lateral view | Prominent (Fig. 4D) | Very prominent (Fig. 4E) | Slightly prominent (Fig. 4F) |
| Width of cephalic part of prosoma | Slightly wider than thoracic part | Remarkably wider than thoracic part | Almost as wide as thoracic part |
| Area among posterior median eyes and posterior lateral eyes | Almost flat (Fig. 4D) | Gibbous (Fig. 4E) | Almost flat (Fig. 4F) |
| Males |  |  |  |
| Prosoma length (mm) | 2.6-4.2 (mean 3.6) | 3.5-5.6 (mean 4.6) | 2.9-4.1 (mean 3.6) |
| Black hairs on chelicerae | Relatively long | Relatively short | Relatively short |
| Hind legs | Proximally red, distally black (Fig. 4A) | Red (Fig. 4B) | Black (Fig. 4C) |
| Usual number of black spots |  |  |  |
| on opisthosoma | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| White hairs on opisthosoma | Usually present | Usually present | Usually absent |
| Conductor in lateral view | Wrinkled, much longer than wide (Fig. 5A) | Wrinkled, slightly longer than wide (Fig. 5B) | Almost smooth, as long as wide (Fig. 5C) |
| Terminal tooth on conductor | Small, almost straight (Fig. 5A) | Strong, incurvated towards groove (Fig. 5B) | Strong, almost straight (Fig. 5C) |
| Groove on conductor | Small, V-shaped (Fig. 5A) | Large, round (Fig. 5B) | Large, U-shaped (Fig. 5C) |
| Females |  |  |  |
| Prosoma length (mm) | 3.6-6.1 (mean 4.7) | 5.9-9.9 (mean 7.5) | 4.2-7.2 (mean 5.4) |
| Orange hairs on prosoma | Absent | Present | Absent |
| Anterior longitudinal bar in epigyne | Wide (Fig. 4G) | Inconsiderable (Fig. 4H) | Inconsiderable (Fig. 41) |
| Epigynal fissures anteriorly incurvated | Remarkably sidewards (Fig. 4G) | Slightly centrally (Fig. 4H) | Sidewards (Fig. 4I) |
| Spermathecae reach to the side | As far as copulatory ducts (Fig. 4J) | Further than copulatory ducts (Fig. 4K) | Further than copulatory ducts (Fig. 4L) |
| Lobation of spermathecae | Distinct (Fig. 4J) | Very distinct (Fig. 4K) | Indistinct (Fig. 4L) |
| Anterior section of copulatory ducts | Elliptical, heavily sclerotised (Fig. 4J) | Elliptical, heavily sclerotised (Fig. 4K) | Circular, finely sclerotised (Fig. 4L) |

To investigate the shape of vulvae, they were dissected, cleared with glycerol and observed under a dissecting microscope.

## Biology of investigated species

Habitat preferences were based on surveys carried out in the Czech and Slovak Republics, and compared with data available from the revised material. We studied 46 localities of E. kollari, four localities of E. moravicus sp. n. and nine localities of E. sandaliatus between 1995 and 2005. The vegetation of the study localities was classified following Chytrý (2007).

We failed to find any interspecific differences in burrows and capturing webs. The burrows and capturing webs of all three species appeared to be identical to those described for E. sandaliatus by Nørgaard (1941).

The phenology of Eresus was quantified from our own observations at the study sites and by comparing sampling dates of the collected material.

## Molecular phylogenies

Molecular phylogenies were based on mitochondrial sequence analysis and allozyme allele frequency analysis. Mitochondrial analyses were based on 548 bp of the mitochondrial genome spanning the ribosomal RNA (16S)-tRNA(Leu) and NADH
dehydrogenase subunit I (ND1) genes, amplified with the primers LR-N-12945 and N1-J-12261 (Hedin 1997). PCR products were sequenced using an ABI-377 automatic sequencer. Sequences were aligned with the Sequence Navigator program (ABI) (default settings). All mutations and/or gaps were confirmed by eye. Allozyme analysis was based on 24 loci analysed by Johannesen \& Veith (2001). Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) amplification and allozyme running conditions were identical to those previously described by Johannesen \& Veith (2001).
For allozyme analyses, we compared Czech populations of E. kollari (Český kras/Koda (Number of individuals $(N)=20)$, Prague/Podbaba $(N=7)$ and Divoká Šárka $(N=9))$ and E. sandaliautus (Křivoklátsko/Velká Pleš $(N=10)$ ) to a geographical subset of previously published populations of these species (Johannesen \& Veith 2001) (see Appendix A). The regions/species were previously found to be discrete; hence, we limited the number of populations per region or species to a maximum of four. For Danish E. sandaliatus, we included two populations with different mtDNA sublineages (Addit and Allinge). Allozyme phylogenetic trees were based on maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis implemented with the subroutine CONTML in PHYLIP (options: global rearrangements allowed, random addition of taxa, jumble $=100$,

| Species | Locality | $N$ | Haplotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | E-a-1 | E-a-3 | E-a-4 | E-a-5 | E-a-6 | E-b-1 | E-d-2 | E-d-3 |
| E. kollari | Divoká Šárka | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| E. kollari | Koda (syn) | 8 |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. kollari | Podbaba* | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. kollari | Milovická stráñ | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| E. sandaliatus | Velká Pleš | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| E. sandaliatus | Koda (syn) | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| E. moravicus | Květnice | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| E. moravicus | Bojnice* | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |

*Data from Johannesen \& Veith (2001).
random population as grouping taxon) (Felsenstein 1993). Significant branching patterns were estimated by $95 \%$ confidence intervals on branch lengths. Furthermore, we included Eresus from Italy for hierarchical outgroup comparison to understand divergence and specificity between the lineages examined in this study. These Italian spiders are genetically distinct for allozyme and mtDNA (Johannesen \& Veith 2001) and probably represent a separate species; they will be examined in taxonomical detail later. The outgroup species Eresus walckenaeri (Johannesen \& Veith 2001; Johannesen et al. 2005) was used as a root for ML analysis and for rooting the bootstrap consensus tree.
mtDNA was analysed from all three central European species from specimens analysed for allozyme polymorphisms, excluding E. sandaliatus from Sweden $(N=3)$, and Czech E. kollari (Pálava/Milovická stráň, $N=1$ ), E. sandaliatus (Český kras/Koda, $N=4$ ) and $E$. moravicus sp. n. (Tišnov/Květnice, $N=2$ ), which were only available as alcohol samples (Table 2). The Koda populations of E. kollari and E. sandaliautus are syntopic. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with maximum-parsimony (MP), distance analysis with sequences joined by neighbor-joining (NJ) and ML using PaUP v4.08b for Macintosh (Swofford 1999). For MP, all characters were weighted equally and indels were treated as fifth bases. Haplotype relationships were analysed using a heuristic search with random addition of sequences. For NJ analysis, we applied the LogDet distance model and the distance model found by the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) in modeltest 3.8 (Posada \& Crandall 1998). Model scores were estimated among ingroup haplotypes. BIC parameter estimates were used for ML analysis. MP bootstrapping was performed using the heuristic search option, while ML was, due to computational limitations, performed with the 'fast-stepwise' procedure. The resulting consensus tree did not differ from the ML heuristic search. Consensus trees for all tree algorithms were based on the $50 \%$ majority rule and 2000 bootstrap searches. As for allozyme analyses (see above), Italian Eresus sp. were included for hierarchical outgroup
comparison and $E$. walckenaeri was used for outgroup routing of the mtDNA phylogeny.

Gene tree analysis (tcs 1.3, Clement et al. 2000) was employed to resolve geographical samples and lineages involved in a possible introgression event including $E$. sandaliatus and eastern German and Czech E. kollari (Clade E-a, see Results). Because gene tree analysis allows haplotypes to be evolutionary intermediates, this analysis may resolve the introgression events in shallow phylogenies better than the phylogenetic tree analyses employed above.

## Abbreviations

Synonymics. is, insufficient; D, description; C, figure of whole body; B, bulbus; E, epigyne; V, vulva.

Morphology. Fe, femur; Pt, patella; Ti, tibia; Mt, metatarsus; PME, posterior median eyes; PLE, posterior lateral eyes.

Material. a, area; h, hill; l, lake; m, mountains; r, natural reserve; J, juvenile.

Collections. BMNH, British Museum of Natural History, London; BT, B. Thaler, Innsbruck; CRI, Výzkumný ústav rostlinné výroby (Crop Research Institute), Prague; CS, C. Szinetár, Szombathely, Hungary; HNHM, Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum, Budapest; HUJ, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem; JL, J. C. Ledoux, Solignac sur Loire, France; JP, J. Prouza, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic; JS, J. Svatoň, Martin, Slovakia; MBCG, Museo Civico di Scienze Naturali 'E. Caff', Bergamo, Italy; MHNG, Muséum d’Histoire naturelle, Genève; MNHN, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris; MR, M. Řezáč, Praha; NHRS, Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm; NMBE, Naturhistorisches Museum der Burgergemeinde Bern; NMPC, Národní muzeum, Praha; NMW, Naturhistorisches Museum, Wien; PG, P. Gajdoš, Nitra, Slovakia; PU, The Zoology Department of the Perm University, Russia; RMNH, Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Leiden, the Netherlands; SK, S. Korenko, Banská

Fig. 1 A-C. Drawings of Eresus kollari copulatory organs showing the morphological characters. -A. conductor. -B. epigyne. -C. vulva. Abbreviations: b, bar; cd, copulatory duct; e, embolus; f, fissure; fd, fertilisation duct; g , groove; 1, lamella; s, spermatheca; t , terminal tooth.


Bystrica, Slovakia; SMF, Naturmuseum Senckenberg, Frankfurt am Main; SZMN, Siberian Zoological Museum, Novosibirsk; UL, Univerza v Ljubljani, Slovenia; VMH, Vihorlatské múzeum, Humenné, Slovakia; ZMHB, Museum für Naturkunde, Humbold Universität, Berlin; ZMUM, Zoological Museum of the Moscow University.

## Results

## Genus Eresus Walckenaer, 1805

Colour pattern. Colouration in Eresus is based on the colour of the cuticle and the colour of the plumose setae. The cuticle is lighter (rusty or orange) in places with red setae, than in places with only black setae. The studied Eresus species were especially different in the pattern of red hairs on the legs of males, and the orange hairs on chelicerae and the cephalic part of the prosoma of females.

Body shape and size. Body size and relative height and width of the cephalic part of the prosoma were found to be important characters. The shape of the prosoma slightly correlates with the body size (larger specimens have a relatively more pronounced cephalic part); the cephalic part is more pronounced in males.

Copulatory organs. Due to a high degree of shape uniformity, copulatory organs are not as useful for discrimination between Eresus species as they are for other spider groups. In males, only the shape of the conductor is species specific. It is composed of a sclerotized terminal tooth that protects the tip of the embolus. The tooth is separated from the less sclerotized part of the conductor (lamella) by a groove in the central European species (Fig. 1A).

The epigyne is composed of a simple pit with two longitudinal fissures (Figs 1B and 2). The frontal part of the fissures, where the openings of the copulatory ducts are located, is curved either laterally or medially. The frontal part of the vulva is composed of a loop of massive copulatory ducts (Fig. 1C). The degree of sclerotization is partly species specific. The distal part of the vulva is mainly composed of spermathecae. These are lobed, and eversed to the sides. Fertilization ducts are tiny, directed promediodorsally and situated at the base of spermathecae. There is considerable
variability in the shape of the vulvae within species (Fig. 3), but the degree of spermatheca lobation and general proportions of the vulvae are species specific. Unfertilized females experience post-adult moulting (Gerhardt 1928). This causes changes in the shape of both the epigyne and the vulva (Kraus \& Kraus 1988). Epigynes of post-adult females are usually larger, with a sharp longitudinal bar and with wide fissures (e.g. Nenilin \& Pestova 1986: fig. 3; Fig. 2Q). Vulvae are characterized by narrower loops of the copulatory ducts. The pre-epigynum, the structure present in Eresus subadult females, can be confused with the epigyne (e.g. Locket \& Millidge 1951; Roberts 1985; Feng 1990). Pre-epigynes are smaller, less sclerotized and only slightly wider than the area bordered by fissures (Fig. 2R); both copulatory ducts and spermathecae are small and simple (Fig. 3R).

Eresus kollari Rossi 1846 (figs 1A-C, 2A-J, 2R, 3A-J, 3R, 4ADGJ, 5AD, 6).
Eresus kollari Rossi 1846: D $\ddagger$ (17-18).

## Misidentifications

Eresus cinnaberinus Walckenaer 1806: Dơ (43-44), Cơ (fig. 10), Paris: Boulogne; Hahn 1821: Cơ (pl. 5, fig. A), Spain, Portugal, France, Italy, Hungary; Walckenaer 1830: Dơ우 (38-39), Cơ (fig. 7), France?; Walckenaer 1837: Cơ (pl. 11, fig. 7D, after Walckenaer 1806); Simon 1873: Dơ (341, 343-344), France; Thorell 1873: Do (421), Austria; Herman 1879 (variety $\alpha$ ): Dơ (292), Slovakia, Romania; Chyzer \& Kulczyński 1891: Dơ (152-153), D $\ddagger$ (152-153, only 'typical form'), E (pl. 6, fig. 22a), Hungary: Tokaj, Szerencs, Almádi, Zeng; Becker 1896: Dợ ㅇ (2-3), Cơ (pl. 1, fig. 1a), is.Cㅇ (pl. 1, fig. 1), B (pl. 1, fig. 1d), is.E (pl. 1, fig. 1b), Belgium?; Leist 1994: Cơ ¢ (p. 306), Germany: Heidelberg; Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994: Dợ ¢ (217), V (fig. 2), Germany?; Ratschker \& Bellmann 1995: Dơ of (807809), V (fig. 4, after Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994); Roberts 1998: Dợ $\ddagger$ (80), B (80), V (80); Walter 1999: Cơ (fig. 1), Switzerland; Bellmann 2001: Dơㅇ (38), Cơ (19, 39), C $\ddagger$ (39, except for the middle two females), V (38, after Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994), Germany?; Johannesen \& Veith 2001: specimens West (western Germany) and East (eastern Germany, Czech Republic); Song et al. 2001: V (fig. 35A, after Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994).


Fig. 2 A-AA. Variation of epigynes, ventral view. -A to J, R. Eresus kollari. -A. Greece: Dirrachi. -B. Czech Rep.: Dolany. -C. Czech Rep.: Havraníky. -D. Czech Rep.: Srbsko. -E. Czech Rep.: Lovosice. -F. Czech Rep.: Milovice. -G. Czech Rep.: Chraberce. -H. Czech Rep.: Ctiněves. -I. Austria: Baden (syntype). -J. Czech Rep.: Vrané nad Vltavou. -R. Czech Rep.: Praha-Liboc (pre-epigynum). -K to Q. Eresus moravicus sp. n. -K. Czech Rep.: Tišnov. -L. Slovakia: Pláš'ovce. - M to P. Slovakia: Banská Bystrica. -Q. Slovakia: Nitra. -S to AA. Eresus sandaliatus. -S. Czech Rep.: Roztoky u Křivoklátu. -T. Czech Rep.: Beroun. -U. Denmark: Enebærbakken. -V. Czech Rep.: Žloukovice. -W. Denmark: Mossö. - X. Czech Rep.: Nezabudice. -Y. Czech Rep.: Nová Rabyně. -Z. Czech Rep.: Karlova Ves. -AA. Czech Rep.: Úhoš'any.

Eresus quatuorguttatus Hahn 1832: Dơ (45-47), Cở (fig. 35), Hungary.
Eresus 4-guttatus Koch 1838: Dơ (104-105), Cờ (fig. 316), Italy.

Erythrophorus quadriguttatus Koch 1874: Dơ (10-11), Germany.
Eresus niger Simon 1892: B (fig. 204); Bösenberg 1903: D $\begin{gathered}\text { 오 }\end{gathered}$ (411), Cơ (pl. 39, fig. 606D), is.C $\nrightarrow$ (pl. 39, fig. 606A), B


Fig. 3 A-AA. Variation of vulvae, dorsal view. -A to J, R. Eresus kollari. -A. Greece: Dirrachi. -B. Czech Rep.: Dolany. -C. Czech Rep.: Havraníky. -D. Czech Rep.: Srbsko. -E. Czech Rep.: Lovosice. -F. Czech Rep.: Milovice. -G. Czech Rep.: Chraberce. -H. Czech Rep.: Ctiněves. —I. Austria: Baden (syntype). -J. Czech Rep.: Vrané nad Vltavou. —R. Czech Rep.: Praha-Liboc (pre-vulva). -K to Q. Eresus moravicus sp. n. -K. Czech Rep.: Tišnov. -L. Slovakia: Plášt'ovce. —M to P. Slovakia: Banská Bystrica. —Q. Slovakia: Nitra. -S to AA. Eresus sandaliatus. —S. Czech Rep.: Roztoky u Křivoklátu. —T. Czech Rep.: Beroun. -U. Denmark: Enebærbakken. -V. Czech Rep.: Žloukovice. —W. Denmark: Mossö. -X. Czech Rep.: Nezabudice. -Y. Czech Rep.: Nová Rabyně. —Z. Czech Rep.: Karlova Ves. —AA. Czech Rep.: Úhošt'any.
(pl. 39, fig. 606C), is.E (pl. 39, fig. 606B, subadult), Germany; Kulczyński 1903: Dơ (637), B (pl. 1, figs 1-2), Hungary?; Lessert 1910: Dơ (33), D $\ddagger$ (32-33, partly), Switzerland; Simon 1914 (typical form): D $\ddagger$ (69), France; Roewer 1928:
is.Dơ $\ddagger$ (127), B (443), probably after Chyzer \& Kulczyński 1891; Reimoser 1931: Dơ of (59, more species), is.Cơ (pl. 2, fig. 5), B (pl. 2, fig. 6), E (pl. 2, fig. 7), Germany?; Locket \& Millidge 1951: Dơ (50), B (fig. 19A), is. E (fig. 19B, subadult);

Wiehle 1953：D đ̊ ${ }^{\circ}$（71－72），is．Cđ（fig．156），B（fig．159），E （fig．160），V（fig．161），Germany；Loksa 1969：Dơ o（19－20）， is．Cơ（fig．12），B（fig．13A），E（fig．13D），Hungary；Miller 1971：D $\begin{gathered}\text { ¢ }\end{gathered}$（54－55），E（pl．2，fig．9），Czech Republic； Heimer \＆Nentwig 1991：Dơ ¢（52），B（fig．112．1，after Locket \＆Millidge 1951），E（fig．112．3，after Miller 1971），V （fig．112．4，after Wiehle 1953）；Haupt \＆Haupt 1993：Dơ if （55），Cơ（p．55）；Ratschker 1992：E（fig．58，60），V（fig．59， 61），Switzerland：Zeneggen，Germany：Lower Saxony： Unterlüß．
The following references formerly attributed to E．cinnaberinus in a broad sense（Platnick 2007）could possibly refer to E．kollari， however，they do not allow for undoubted identification．
Eresus cinnaberinus Walckenaer 1805：is．Dơ（21），is．B（pl．3， fig．25），France？；Walckenaer 1837：Dठ（395－396，several species including E．kollari and E．sandaliatus）；Koch 1838： is．D $\begin{gathered}\text {（106－108），is．Cđ（fig．318），S France；Ratschker \＆}\end{gathered}$ Bellmann 1994：is．B（fig．1，after Ratschker 1992：fig．131）； Ratschker \＆Bellmann 1995：is．B（fig．3，after Ratschker \＆ Bellmann 1994）；Bellmann 2001：C $¢$（39，third from the top）；Song et al．2001：D（79－80），is．Cô（fig．35B），Cő （fig．B），B（fig．35C，after Song 1987；fig．35D，after Ratschker \＆ Bellmann 1994）．
Eresus niger Simon 1895：Dơ（331），Russia：Kandagatai， Altai；Planet 1905：is．D đ（51－53），is．Coิ（51），France； Reimoser 1931：Dợ 9 （59，more species），is．Cơ（pl．2，fig．5）， B（pl．2，fig．6），E（pl．2，fig．7），Germany？；Nakatsudi 1942： Dơ（8），is．Cơ（fig．1A），is．B（fig．1B），is．E（fig．1C），China； Namkung 1964：is．D ${ }^{\text {® }}$（33），is．B（fig．5B－C），is．E（fig．5A）， Korea；Tyschenko 1971：is．Dơ ¢（58），former USSR；Hu 1984：D ơ（51－52），is．B（pl．47，figs 3－4），China；Feng 1990： Cơ（fig．6），is．B（pl．6，figs 3－4），is．E（pl．6，fig．2，subadult）， China；Ratschker 1992：B（figs 131－132），NE Spain：Porte Vendres．

## Nomina dubia

Aranea nigra Petagna 1787：is．Dơ（34），preoccupied by Fabricius（1775）；replaced by Walckenaer（1805）；Petagna 1792：is．D ${ }^{\star}$（437）．
Aranea cinnaberina Olivier 1789：is．D $\widehat{\text {（22 }}$（221）．
Aranea moniligera Villers 1789：is．Dđ（128），is．Co（pl．11， fig．8）．
Aranea quatuorguttata Rossi 1790：is．Dô（135），is．Cơ（pl．1， figs 8－9）．
Eresus ater Walckenaer 1805：Dơ（21，after Petagna 1787）．
Chersis dubius Walckenaer 1837：Dơ（392，after Petagna 1787）．
Eresus illustris Koch 1838：D $\begin{gathered}\text {（105－106），C } \widehat{\imath} \text {（pl．88，}\end{gathered}$ fig．317）．

Type specimens of the species mentioned in synonymics．Eresus cinnaberinus：Italy：Florence（o holotype，18th century，M． Towson，repository unknown，not examined）．Eresus illustris：
unknown location，the author assumed they came from Hungary（4ठ syntypes，19th century，repository unknown， not examined）．Eresus kollari：Austria：Baden near Vienna（1 ㅇ syntype August 1841，K．Kollar，NMW，1896．VIII．475， Koelbel＇s estate，examined； $1+$ syntype July 1842，F．W．Rossi， repository unknown，not examined）．Eresus moniligerus： France：Lyon（o holotype，18th century，repository unknown， not examined）．Eresus niger，E．ater，E．dubius：Italy：Regio di Calabria：Aspromonte（ $\begin{gathered}\text { h holotype，18th century，repository }\end{gathered}$ unknown，not examined）．Eresus quatuorguttatus：Italy： Florence（ $\delta$ holotype，18th century，repository unknown， not examined）．

Localities and material examined．ALBANIA：Tomori（2 ${ }^{\star}$ 14．VIII．1935，A．H．G．Alston \＆N．Y．Landwith，BMNH）．
AUSTRIA：（ 1 ㅇ，MNHN）．Niederösterreich：Vienna（ 4 б人， T．Thorell，NHRS；5 ઠ̊，NMW）；Mödling（2 đ 21．VIII．1960， G．E．J．Nixon，BMNH）；Mödling，Kalenderberg（1ठ 17．IX．－ 15．X．1977，NMW）；Brühl near Mödling，Rogenhofer（1 +2 J 1862，NMW）；Vienna，Leopoldsberg，Wiener Wald（2 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 28．VIII－－24．IX．1977，NMW）；Hainburg（1 $\widehat{\lambda}$ ，NMW）； Ober－Weiden，Marchfeld（2 $\delta^{\star}$ ，H．Franz，NMW）；Baden（ $1 \delta^{\star}$ 1890，F．von Feiller，NMW）．Burgenland：Parndorfer Platte （1 ơ 1988，K．Thaler，NMW）；Weiden，Zitzmannsdorfer Wiesen（1 ㅇ，F．Kasy，NMW）；

BULGARIA：（1才 1964，R．Braun，SMF）．
CROATIA：Dalmatia，Zara vecchia，Vrana（1¢ 1891，R． Sturany，NMW）．

CZECH REPUBLIC：Numerous materials from this country will be listed elsewhere．

FRANCE：Paris（ 1 大，T．Thorell，NHRS，E．cinnaberinus — det．E．Simon）；（1 ㅇ，HUJ）；Ardèche，Sainte Eulalie（1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { § }\end{aligned}$ 12．IX．2001，J．Johannesen，MR）；Cévennes（1̊ VIII．1965， J．C．Ledoux，JL）；Essonne，Puiselet le Marais（8ơ 28．VIII． 2005，J．C．Ledoux，JL）；Haute Loire，Solignac sur Loire（2 9 20．－21．VIII．2004，J．C．Ledoux，JL）；Chansi（1ठ 11．X．1914， CNMP）；Seine et Marne，Fontainebleau（2 o 22．IX．1910， Benoist，MNHN，E．niger－det．E．Simon）；Cascade de la Beaume（2才 5．IX．19？35，MNHN）．Hautes Alpes：Le Prozel near Briançon（2 đ̊ IX．1952，Y．Bertrand，NMPC）；Aspres lès Corps（ ơ VIII．$^{\text {® }}$ ，J．Jarrige，MR）；Serre，Lomb（Serralongue in Pyrénées Orientales？）（ 30 o 2 ㅇ 4 J，E．Simon，MNHN）．

GERMANY：（1 đ 24．IX．1931，H．Wiehle，SMF）；Stromberg （ 1 đ ，summer 1901，ZMHB）；Pfynwald（2 đ 19．VII．1979， 1o 22．IX．2000，Kiels，NMBE）；Nahe，Rotenfels（1J，J． Johannesen，MR）．Baden－Wuerttemberg：Kaiserstuhl m．near Freiburg im Breisgau（1ठ 22．X．1966，O．Kraus； 1 đ X．1968， Buch； 1 ơ 1984，J．Wunderlich；SMF）；Waldhof near Mannheim（1ơ 30．IX．1925，G．Ochs，SMF）；Ochsenburg near Karlsruhe（ 1 đ VII．1965，H．Hiebsch，SMF）．Bavaria： Nürnberg（ 1 ô 1 ¢ 1882，L．Koch，NMW）．Berlin：Berlin， Pfaueninsel（ $1 \delta^{\hat{*}} 1968$ ，J．Wunderlich，SMF）．Brandenburg：

Summt near Berlin（3ơ 18．IX．1937，M．Ehlers，ZMHB）； Beelitz near Postdam（12 o 7．IX．1913；K．Heijn，ZMHB）； Schönow near Bernau（1o 30．IX．1926，Walther； 1 ㅇ 23．X．1937，M．Ehlers；ZMHB）；Rathenow near Brandenburg， Brunnerstädt（1 $\delta^{\hat{}}$ 18．IX．1921，ZMHB）；Gasta near Berlin （1 © 26．V．1991，Echelt，ZMHB）．Hesse：Frankfurt am Main （2 ${ }^{\hat{*}}$ 2．I．1905， 2 ô，L．von Heyden，SMF）；Kelsterbach am Main near Frankfurt am Main（2 $\sigma^{\star}$ 24．IX．1949，H．Hesse， SMF）；Florsheim am Main（1o5 $\ddagger$ 20．V．1951，H．Hesse， SMF）；Florsheim am Main，Falkenberg（ $1 \delta^{\star}$ ，W．Hororst， SMF）；Jugenheim（1ठ 1910， 2 đ 1913，K．Dietz（Dieke？） （SMF）．Rbineland－Palatinate：ruin Hammerstein am Rhein near Neuwied（1 \＆25．II．1905，P．Bertkau，SMF）；Mombach near Mainz（ $1 \delta^{\star}$, L．von Heyden，SMF）；Lorch am Rhein（ $1 \delta^{\star}$ 7．IX．1951，R．Langer，SMF）；Ochtendung near Koblenz
 Hoppe； 1 ¢ 21．IX．1930，H．Wiehle；SMF）；Hettstedt near Magdeburg（1ठ 2．IX．1942， 1 it 1951，H．Wiehle，SMF）． Thuringia：Kyffhäuser near Leipzig，near Frankenhauser Quelle（1 91 J 11．VII．1938，ZMHB）；Kyffhäuser near Leipzig， near Bad Frankenhausen（2才 10．XI．1964，M．Moritz， ZMHB）；Kyffhäuser near Leipzig，Kesabenberg（1o 21．IX．1964， M．Moritz，ZMHB）．

GREECE：Dirrachi（1 q V．2003，A．Kiefer，MR）．
HUNGARY：Nyíregyháza（1ô 2．X．1898，L．Hollós， HNHM）；Vertes，Czákberény（1đ 20．IX．1991，V．V．Dubatolov， SzMn），Gödöllö（1 \＆1880，Steindachner，NMW）；Kiskunsý sands（1o 23．X．2001，K．Kunbaracs，CS）；Sas hegy h．，Budapest （1 ठ 16．IX．1994，CS）；（2 ठ 1 J，Steindachner，NMW）．

ITALY：Triest（ 3 ô，Krauss，NMW）；（ ô，$^{\hat{\prime}}, \mathrm{ZMHB}$ ）．Abruzzo： Grupo del Velino，Piano di Pezza（1ơ IX．1924，Alzona，MBCG）； Sopra Castel del Monte，national park Gran Sasso（2J 23．VII．2004，P．Pantini，MBCG）．

KAZAKHSTAN：Kustanai a．，Naurzum r．（1ठ 15．IX．1989， T．M．Brugina，ZMUM）；Uralsk a．，Dzhanybek（1 $\ddagger 12 . V I .1982$, K．G．Mikhailov，ZMUM）．

KYRGYZSTAN：western Tian－Shan m．，Sary－Chelek r．， Iri－Kel l．（1ठ 5．VIII．1983， 1 б 18．IX．1983，A．B．Ravkin， ZMUM）；western Tian－Shan m．，Fergansky m．，Yarodar（1ठ 26．IX．1983，K．Y．Eskov，ZMUM）；Kurskoe，north shore of Issyk－Kul l．（1ô1J 10．VIII．1980，S．L．Zonstein，ZMUM）； Issyk－Kul a．，Kungei Alatau m．，Chon－Uryukty boundary （1 9 23．VI．1983，S．V．Ovchiunikov，ZMUM）；Baubashata m．， Maek boundary（1 © 22．X．1980，S．L．Zonstein，ZMUM）．

MACEDONIA：Doiran－Sunfer（ 1 な 1 i 1935，C．F．Roewer， SMF）．
MONTENEGRO：（2 $~$ ，BMNH）．
ROMANIA：Tâmpa near Braşov（1ơ 9．X．1904，E．J． Lehmann，ZMHB）；

RUSSIA：Altai m．，Volchikha a．（1ơ 9．VII．1999，D．V． Logunov，SZMN）；Tassor，south Ural（1ơ 11．－12．IX．1999， D．V．Logunov，PU）；Ilmenskii r．，south Ural（1ơ 25．VII．1999，

D．V．Logunov，PU）；Novosibirsk a．， 27 km ESE of Zdvinsk， Chany l．，Kargat river mouth（5 ơ 10．IX．1989，V．P．Pekin， SZMN）；Novosibirsk a．， 13 km W of Karasuk，1．Troitskoe （1ð 7．IX．1989，V．P．Pekin，SZMN）；Bashkortostan，Bashart （3 ơ，I．V．Stelaer，Szmn）；Southern Russia，Valuiki（1ô， Velitschkovski，NMW）．

SLOVAKIA：Hronská pahorkatina：Štúrovo（4o̊ 1965，J． Buchar，NMPC）．Malé Karpaty m．Bratislava，r．Devínska Kobyla（1ठ 5．VII．－26．IX．1979， 1 ㅇ 24．V．1980，P．Gajdoš，PG； 1 it 10．VI．，F．Miller，NMPC）；Pezinok near Chrastina（1o 17．VII．－15．XII．1994，P．Gajdoš，PG）；Stupava，Vrchná hora h．（1 ơ 19．IX．－17．X．1999，1J 17．VII．－18．VIII．1999，4o̊ 18．VIII．－19．IX．1999，O．Mazjan，PG）．Považské podolie： Trenčianské Bohuslavice，r．Turecký vrch（3 o 22．X．1978，J． Svatoň，JS）．Považský Inovec m．Lúka，r．Tematínske vrchy（1J 1978，V．Thomka，VMH）．Slovenský kras a．－Plešivecká planina plateau：Gočaltovo，Železné vráta rocks（4ô 13．IX．1983，J． Svatoň，JS）；Rožňavské Bystré，r．Gerlachovské skaly（ 1 ơ 14．IX．1983，J．Svatoň，JS）；Kunova Teplica，Vel＇ký vrch h． （1ơ 5．IX．1984， 6 ơ 16．X．1984，J．Svatoň，JS）．Slovenský kras a． －Silická planina plateau：Jablonov nad Turňou，Hradište h． （4ơ 6．IX．1984，9ơ 16．X．1984，J．Svatoň，JS）；Hrušov nad Turňou，r．Hrušovská lesostep（1J 28．VI．1984， 1 đ 23．VIII．1984， 2 ơ 16．X．1984，J．Svatoň，JS）．Trîbeč m． Nitrianska Streda，r．Hrdovická（1ठ 31．VII．－9．X．1986， 2 đ̊ 9．X．－10．XII．1986，P．Gajdoš，PG）；Nitra，r．Zobor（1 ठ 14．X．1992，P．J．van Helsdingen，RMNH）．Štiavnické vrchy m． Počúvadlo，r．Holík（1ơ 16．IX．1985，LpG，PG）．

SLOVENIA：Korytnice（1 đ 21．IX．1988， 1 đ̊ 26．IX．1988， S．Polak，UL； 1 i 23．V．1995，S．Polak，MR）；Podtabor（1o autumn 1995，S．Polak，MR； 1 it 17．IX．1995，M．Řezáč，MR）； Bepše near Logatec（1 đ IX．1934，Dolar，NMPC）．

SPAIN：Sierra Alta，Teruel（1o 15．IX．1953，K．Schnellb， SMF）．

SWITZERLAND：（1ठ X．19？22，MNHN）．Wallis：（2 $\begin{gathered}\text { ® }\end{gathered}$ 26．VIII．1922，Stalden，BMNH）；（3 $\left.{ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{NMBE}\right) ;$ Stalden（ $1 \delta^{\hat{\prime}}$ ， NMBE）；Fiesch（23ơ，NMBE）；Salvan，Valais，Pente de Chippis à Niouc，Sierre（ 2 o 25．VII．，M．Weber，MHNG）； Salvan（13 ô 1J，R．de Lessert，MHNG）；Valère s／Sion，Valais （1o X．1955，A．Comellini or Valése，MHNG），Leuk（1o ， NMBE）；Savièse（ $\delta^{\star} 2 \mathrm{~J}$ ，R．de Lessert or Jullien，MHNG）； Lens（1 む 25．VII．1964，J．C．Regnier，MHNG）；Leytron（5 ${ }^{\circ}$ ， NMBE）．

Diagnosis．Males of this species are distinguished from E．moravicus sp．n．and E．sandaliatus by proximally red and distally black hind legs（Fig．4A，Table 1）and a conductor with a small terminal tooth and small groove（Fig．5A）． Females are recognized by an epigyne in which the proximal part is divided by a wide longitudinal bar（see an arrow in the Fig．4G）and by a vulva with spermathecae reaching only as far to the sides as the copulatory ducts（Fig．4J）．


Fig. 4 A-L. Characters of three Eresus species. -A, D, G, J. Eresus kollari. -B, E, H, K. Eresus moravicus sp. n. -C, F, I, L. Eresus sandaliatus. -A to C. Colour pattern of males, black-black colouration, whitewhite colouration, dotted-red colouration. -D to F. Male prosoma, lateral view. -G to I. Epigyne, ventral view. -J to L. Vulva, dorsal view. According to specimens from the Czech Republic (paratypes in case of E. moravicus sp. n.). - Scale bars 1 mm (A-F) or $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{L})$.

## Description

Male. Prosoma (Fig. 4AD): 2.6-4.2 (mean 3.6) mm long. The cephalic part is black with scattered white hairs, distinctly prominent, slightly wider than the thoracic part, slightly extending to the front, and the area between the PME and PLE is almost flat. The thoracic part is blackbrown and bordered with a band of red hairs. Chelicerae: Black with long black hairs. Legs (Fig. 4A): Legs I and II are black-brown with mainly black hairs, the dorsal sides of Fe II have a few red hairs, and distal extremities of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Ti}$ and Mt have rings of white hairs. Legs III and IV are ferruginousbrown, with the proximal podomeres (segments) ( $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}$, dorsal side of Ti ) having red hairs, and the distal segments having black hairs. The distal extremities of Ti and Mt had a few white hairs on the dorsal side. Opisthosoma (Fig. 4A): Dorsally red with scattered white hairs and with two, rarely three, pairs of black spots of variable size. A line of white hairs usually borders the red area and the black spots, especially posteriorly. The ventral side of the opisthosoma is black, and only
the branchial opercula have red hairs. Palp: Conductor wrinkled, much longer than wide in the lateral view (Fig. 5A); terminal tooth small, equal or lower than lamella, straight; groove small, V-shaped. The basal part of the conductor is relatively narrow in the ventral view (Fig. 5D).

Female. Prosoma: 3.6-6.1 (mean 4.7) mm long, of the same shape as in males. The cephalic part is brown-black, with long black hairs and scattered short white hairs; the median eyes also have pale rusty hairs around. Chelicerae: Brown-black with long black hairs and scattered short pale rusty hairs on the basal half. Legs: Brown-black, with dorsal side of Fe, Pt, Ti and Mt of legs I-III having scattered and, distally, clustered short pale rusty hairs. Opisthosoma: Violet-black with long black hairs and, anteriorly, with scattered short white hairs. Epigyne (Figs 2A-J and 4G): The anterior part is divided by a wide longitudinal bar, and the anterior parts of fissures are remarkably incurvated sidewards. Vulva (Figs 3A-J and 4J): Spermathecae are composed of distinct lobes reaching

Fig. 5 A-F. Conductors of three Eresus species. -A, D. Eresus kollari.-B, E. Eresus moravicus sp. n. -C, F. Eresus sandaliatus. -A to C. Lateral view. -D to F. Ventral view. Specimens from the Czech Republic. Scale bars 0.1 mm .

approximately as far to the side as the copulatory ducts. The copulatory ducts constitute heavily sclerotized elliptical organs in the anterior part of vulva.

## Remark

This is probably an aggregate of at least two cryptic taxa that can be distinguished only at the molecular level. The first E. kollari taxon is known to be from western Germany, Switzerland and France. The second taxon is likely of hybrid origin, evolving from the first E. kollari taxon and E. sandaliatus, and was detected in eastern Germany and the Czech Republic. The genetic identity of the other populations is unknown.

The phenotype of this aggregate was recently redescribed as E. cinnaberinus (Ratschker \& Bellmann 1995). However, the only conductor illustrated in Ratschker \& Bellmann (1995: fig. 3, drawn after the SEM photo in Ratschker 1992: fig. 131) has an unusually large terminal tooth and groove, and may represent a different species. Roberts (1998) provided exact drawings of the copulatory organs of this species, which are also under the name E. cinnaberinus. The type material of E. cinnaberinus, described from Florence, probably does not exist any more. Several Eresus species may occur in central Italy, all of which fit the brief original description. In addition, the type material of other early Eresus nominal species,
namely E. moniligerus, E. quatuorguttatus, $E$. ater and E. illustris, probably no longer exists. These species were described from regions where several Eresus species may occur. For E. illustris, the type locality is unknown. Therefore, the correct usage of these names will only be solved if representative samples from the specific type localities become available in the future. Presently, they should be considered nomina dubia. The oldest name undoubtedly related to the studied species is Eresus kollari Rossi 1846. Only two species occur in the region of its type locality (Baden near Vienna), the analysed one and the E. moravicus sp. n.; the description unambigously refers to the analysed species. Moreover, we probably identified its original type specimen.

In the southern part of the distribution area of E. kollari, there are some aberrant populations of vague taxonomic value. Specimens from Slovenia, Croatia and Italy are markedly larger, the male rear legs have red hairs on only the dorsal side of Fe III and IV (males with a similar colour pattern were described as E. illustris C. L. Koch 1838), the conductor is relatively larger and the terminal tooth stronger (as in Kulczynski 1903; pl. 1, figs 1-2). Specimens from Kyrghyzstan are also clearly larger, with conductors of a similar shape. Moreover, females possess spermathecae reaching further to the side. Males from the Sierra Alta in Spain and Abruzzo in Italy have a markedly wide conductor base.

## Phenology

Eresus kollari matures in July，copulates in August－October and lays eggs in May（populations in the Czech Republic）．

## Habitat

This species occurs mainly in rocky steppes（Festucion valesiacae， F．pallentis）in the Czech Republic．Less often，it occurs at the edges of heathlands（Euphorbio－Callunion，Genistion）and xerophilic acidophilous grasslands（Koelerio－Phleion phleoidis）． In the southern part of its distribution area，it also occurs in alpine grasslands at 2000 m a．s．l．（French Alps，Kyrgyz Plateau），pine forests on sand（Novosibirsk area in Russia）， saline steppes（south Ural）and birch woods（north Kazakhstan）．

## Distribution

The distribution area of $E$ ．kollari is unusually large compared to the distributions of other Eresus species，ranging from the Iberian Peninsula to Novosibirsk in central Russia．Eresus ko－ llari has expanded relatively far to the North（only E．sanda－ liatus has expanded further），but is probably missing from the hottest and driest parts of the Palaearctic region．

Eresus moravicus sp．n．Řezáč（figs 2K－Q，3K－Q，4BEHK， 5BE，6）．
Eresus sp．Ratschker 1995：Dợ $\ddagger$（723－725），B（fig．2），V （fig．1），Austria：Lavamünd．

## Misidentifications

Eresus ruficapillus Herman 1879：D $q$（293），Hungary．
Eresus cinnaberinus Herman 1879 （variety $\gamma$ ）：D $\begin{gathered}\text {（292），}\end{gathered}$
Hungary；Sauer \＆Wunderlich 1997：Dơ ¢（34），Cơ（35）， C $q$（35）；Bellmann 2001：C $\ddagger$（39，second figure from the top on the left）；Johannesen \＆Veith 2001：specimens from Bojnice in Slovakia；Thaler \＆Knoflach 2002 （E．cinnaberinus s．l．）：Dơ（425），Cơ（fig．41）．C $\ddagger$（figs 43－44），B（figs 19－20）， Austria：Fließ，Dürnstein．
Eresus niger Chyzer \＆Kulczyński 1891：Dof form＇frontalis＇ （152－153），B（pl．6，fig．22b），Hungary：S．a．Ujhely，Budapest； Rumania：Gherla；Croatia：Rijeka，Bakar，Crikvenica， Velebit；Roewer 1928：is．Dơ ¢ ¢（127），B（443，probably after Chyzer \＆Kulczyński 1891）；Ratschker 1992：B（figs 133－ 134），Austria：Lavamünd．
Eresus niger variety frontalis Lessert 1910：D $\odot$（32）， Switzerland．
Eresus niger variety ruficapillus Loksa 1969：D 9 （20），Hungary． The following references formerly attributed to E．cinna－ berinus in a broad sense（Platnick 2007）could possibly refer to E．moravicus，however，they do not allow for undoubted identification．
Aranea cinnaberina Walckenaer 1802：Dơ（249，diagnostic characters red rear legs and June sexual activity are men－ tioned），Paris：Menil－Montant（currently the urban part of the city）．

Eresus fulvus Rossi 1846：D $\mp$（17）（not $\delta$ as stated by the author）．Only the identity of the female syntype from Dalmatia in Croatia，which was not found in NMW，remains doubtful． Eresus tricolor Simon 1873：D $q$（348－349．Only the identity of the female syntypes from Basse Alpes（Mélan）and Hautes Alpes（Briançon，le Monétier），which was later excluded from the typeset by Simon（1914）；remains doubtful．It was not found in MNHN）．
Eresus niger Lehtinen 1967：is．B（fig．467）；Azheganova 1968： is．Dơ（21），is．B（fig．24），former USSR．
Eresus cinnaberinus Roberts 1995：Co（pl．1，fig．2a）．
Holotype．CZECH REPUBLIC：Moravia：Tišnov，r．Květnice （1 § 4．IV．2004，M．Řezáč，CRI）．

Paratypes．We decided to designate material from only the south－eastern part of the Czech Republic as paratypes，to minimize the possibility of including more taxa in the type material（frequent presence of morphologically very similar， allopatrically distributed species in the genus Eresus）．CZECH REPUBLIC：Moravia：Brněnsko a．Dolní Kounice（1 \＆X．1966， F．Miller，NMPC）；Brno（1 ô，F．Miller，NMPC）．Podyjí a． Havraníky，r．Údolí Dyje，Šobes（1 ô 2．V．2003，J．Vilímová， CRI）；Čížov，r．Údolí Dyje，Sloní hřbet hill（1 đ 1．VI．2007， M．Škorpík，CRI）．Pálava a．Horní Věstonice，r．Děvín－ Kotel－Soutěska（4才 13．V．1993，4す 26．V．1993， 1 đ 8．VI．1993，J．Svatoň，JS； 1 ¢ 26．V．1998，M．Řezáč，CRI）． Tišnousko $a$ ．Tišnov，Čebínka（ 3 ơ 20．V．， 1 ô 1 ¢ 30．V．，F． Miller，NMPC）；Tišnov，r．Květnice（1o 25．IV．，F．Miller， NMPC；2す1ㅇ 20．III．2004， 3 す3ㅇ 4．IV．2004， 7 ㅇ 27．VI．2006，M．Řezáč，CRI）．Znojemská pahorkatina billy country：Mohelno，r．Mohelenská hadcová step（1 ¢ VII．1941， 1ô 1950，F．Miller，NMPC； 1 it 20．V．2005，M．Řezáč，CRI）．

Other localities and material examined．AUSTRIA：Dürnstein （1 ઠ 31．V．1997，Kopf，BT）；north Dunkelstein Wald（1ठ 22．V．1967，W．D．Oberhammer，BT）．

HUNGARY：Szásanlyó h．，Villány（1 ơ 8．V．1999，C． Szinetár，CS）；（1 đ̛，ZMHB）．

SLOVAKIA：Beskydské predhorie m．Ptíčie，r．Humenský Sokol（1 © 30．IV．1994，V．Thomka，VMH）．Biele Karpaty m． Babina（1 ¢ 13．VIII．1992，R．Prídavka，JS）．Borská nižina low－ land：Sekule（1ठ 12．V．1993，O．Jakeš，MR）；Moravský Svätý Ján（1ơ 26．V．2002，J．Erhart，MR）．Cerová vrchovina m． Fil＇akovo，Červený vrch h．（1J 7．VI．1990，R．Prídavka，JS）． Kremnické vrchy m．Budča，r．Boky（1才 1976，V．Thomka， VMH）．Krupinská planina plain：Plášt＇ovce（1J 4．VIII．2001， 1 ô 1 ¢ VI．2006，J．Šejna，MR）．Malé Karpaty m．（1ơ 20．V．1950， F．Miller，NMPC）．Muránska planina plateau：Tisovec，r． Hradová（1J 14．VIII．1979，J．Svatoň，JS）．Popradská kotlina： Hranovnica，r．Hranovnicka dubina（1o 1J 12．V．2006， 1 § 18．V．2006， 1 ठ 3J 22．5．2006，S．Korenko，MR）．Považ̌ký Inovec $^{\text {2 }}$
m. Luka, r. Javorničky (1 đ 9.V.2003, photo M. Ducháček). Slovenský kras a. Horný vrch plateau, Zádiel (1o̊ 26.V.1978, F. Miller, NMPC); Plešivecká planina plateau, Lúčka h. (1ơ 1 J 11.VI.1983, J. Svatoň, JS). Starohorské vrchy m. Panský diel m., Banská Bystrica, r. Jakub (1 đ̛ 7.V.2003, S. Korenko, SK). Strážovské vrchy m. Bojnice, Kalvária h. (1J 28.VIII.1992, S. Pekár, MR); Bánovce nad Bebravou, Uhrovec, Jankov vršok
 (1 ¢ 1891, HNHM). Viborlatské vrchy m. Vinné, r. Vinnianský hradný vrch (1o 2.IV.-24.V.1993, V. Thomka, VMH); Krivošt'any ( 1 © 19.V.1993, V. Thomka, VMH). Východoslovenská rovina lowland: Svätuše (1ơ 6.V.1989, J. Prouza, JP); Král’ovský Chlmec, Vel’ký Kamenec h. (2 o 8.VI.1960, J. Buchar, NMPC). Zvolenská kotlina basin: Vígl'aš (1 ${ }^{\text {o }}$ 1.VI.1978, V. Jánsky, JS).

Etymology. Named after the eastern part of the Czech Republic (Moravia), the area where the type locality is situated.

Diagnosis. This is the largest central European Eresus species (Table 1), characterized by a markedly prominent (Fig. 4E) and wide (Fig. 4B) cephalic part of the prosoma. Males are distinguished from the other two central European species by almost entirely red hind legs (Fig. 4B) and by a conductor having a strong terminal tooth that is markedly incurvated towards a round groove (Fig. 5B). Females are characterized by the compact cover of orange hairs on the cephalic part of the prosoma and the basal part of the chelicerae, by an epigyne with the anterior parts of fissures slightly incurvated centrally (Fig. 4H), and by a vulva with remarkably lobed spermathecae (Fig. 4K).

Females of E. moravicus sp. n. can be distinguished from the Mediterranean species, which also possess orange hairs on the prosoma, as follows: females (subadult) of E. ruficapillus have the cephalic part of the prosoma remarkably tapered backwards. Females of E. fulvus differ by greater size, having yellow hairs that are relatively longer and finer covering almost the entire frontal sides of the basal cheliceral segments, having spermatheca that are less lobed, and having copulatory ducts that are almost horizontal in the centre of the vulva. Females of $E$. ignicomis are larger and have a relatively flat area between the PME and PLE. The cover of orange hairs is less consistent, tending to reduce to spots. The epigyne is larger and the spermathecae are less lobed. Females of E. tricolor differ by the presence of white spots on the opisthosoma, orange hairs on the prosoma grouped into isolated spots, and a small and shallow epigyne.

## Description

Male. Prosoma (Fig. 4B,E): 3.5-5.6 (mean 4.6) mm long. The cephalic part is black-ferruginous with scattered white hairs, is very prominent, much wider than the thoracic part,
and extends considerably to the front. The area between PME and PLE is gibbous. The thoracic part is ferruginous, either bordered or entirely covered with red hairs. Chelicerae: Black with short black hairs, and with scattered white hairs on the frontal side of the basal part. Legs (Fig. 4B): Legs I and II are brown with black hairs, Fe II and Pt II are ferruginous with red hairs, and the distal extremities of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Ti}$ and Mt , and often also the proximal extremities of $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Mt}$ and Ta , have rings of white hairs. Legs III and IV are orange, and almost all segments (podomeres) are covered with red hairs. Only Ta and part of Mt have black hairs. Opisthosoma (Fig. 4B): Dorsally red with scattered white hairs and with two, rarely three, pairs of black spots of variable size. A line of white hairs usually borders the red area and the black spots, especially posteriorly. The ventral side of the opisthosoma is black and only the branchial opercula have red hairs. Palp: Conductor wrinkled, approximately as long as wide in the lateral view (Fig. 5B); terminal tooth strong, slightly higher than lamella, markedly incurvated toward the groove. The groove is usually large and round. The basal part of the conductor is relatively narrow in the ventral view (Fig. 5E).

Female. Prosoma: 5.9-9.9 (mean 7.5) mm long and same shape as in males. The cephalic part is rusty, with a compact cover of orange hairs, especially around the median eyes. Chelicerae: Rusty with a compact cover of orange hairs on the frontal side of their basal third. Legs: Brown-black, dorsal side of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Ti}$ and Mt of legs I-III with scattered and, distally, with clustered short pale rusty hairs. Opisthosoma: Violet-black with long black hairs and, anteriorly, with scattered short white hairs. Epigyne (Figs 2K-Q and 4H): Anterior part with a negligible longitudinal bar, anterior parts of fissures slightly incurvated centrally. Vulva (Figs 3K-Q and 4K): Spermathecae composed of very distinct lobes, reaching further to the sides than the copulatory ducts. Copulatory ducts constitute heavily sclerotized elliptical organs in the anterior part of the vulva.

## Remark

Due to the remarkable cover of orange hairs on the cephalic part of the prosoma, females of E. moravicus sp. n. were recognized early, but erroneously identified as E. ruficapillus (Herman 1879; Loksa 1969) or E. cinnaberinus frontalis (Chyzer \& Kulczyński 1891; Lessert 1910). Type specimens of E. ruficapillus Koch, 1846 belong to a different species, probably endemic to Sicily. The type material of E. cinnaberinus frontalis Latreille, 1819 probably no longer exists as we did not find it in MNHN. However, this form was described in south-western Europe, where E. moravicus sp. n. do not seem to occur. We revised type specimens of other species having females that are characterized by the presence of orange prosoma hairs, particularly E. fulvus Rossi, 1846, E. tricolor Simon, 1873 and E. ignicomis Simon, 1914, which also represent
different species. Eresus moravicus sp. n. has recently been considered an E. cinnaberinus variety (Sauer \& Wunderlich 1997; Bellmann 2001; Johannesen \& Veith 2001). Ratschker (1995) and Thaler \& Knoflach (2002) recognized that individuals corresponding to Eresus moravicus sp. n. might belong to a separate taxon, but they did not resolve the nomenclature. Because the names mentioned above relate to different species, we have given a new name to this species: Eresus moravicus Řezáč.

Comparative material on related species. Eresus ruficapillus Koch, 1846: ITALY: Sicily, Escurial, Seidlitz (3J, coll. C. L. Koch in BMNH, syntypes).
Eresus sp. n.: GREECE: Pelp, Peleponees ( § $^{\mathbf{~}, ~ J . ~ J o h a n-~}$ nesen, MR).
Eresus fulvus Rossi, 1846: YUGOSLAVIA: Syrmien (Srem) 2 ) , 19th century, NMW, probably syntypes, in the description erroneously referred to as Syrien. ITALY: Etrurien, Toscana (2 $91 \mathrm{~J}, 19$ th century, coll. Koelbel in NMW, 1896.VIII.467, 1896.VIII.469, probably syntypes). UKRAINE: Crimea, Taurien (1 $\%$, 19th century, coll. Koelbel in NMW, 1896.VIII.468, probably syntype).

Eresus ignicomis Simon, 1914: FRANCE. CORSICA: Patrimonio (1̊ IX.1907, F. Major, BMNH); Corte (1 $\widehat{~}$ 15.IX.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); Corte and Vivario (1 $\widehat{ }$ 12.IX.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); Luri (1ô 4.IX.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); Moncale (1 ${ }^{\text {な }} 2 \mathrm{~J}$ 8.IX.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); Asco (1 i 11.IV.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); Campile (1 ¢ VI.1999, N. Verneau, MR); St Florent (1 đ 28.VII.1957, MHNG); Vizzavona? ( 1 ㅇ, 19th century, coll. T. Thorell in NHRS, E. ruficapillus - det. E. Simon, probably syntype); Iles Sanguinaires, Bonifacio ( $7 ¢ 8 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{MNHN}$, syntypes), Bonifacio (1 ㅇ VI.1921, MNHN); Evisa ( ơ, MNHN). ITALY, $^{\text {a }}$ SARDINIA: Tüla (1 \& IV.1952, SMF).
Eresus tricolor Simon, 1873: FRANCE, CORSICA: Calacuccia (1才 6.IX.1952, 2 đ 7.IX.1953, L. Kahmann, SMF); Mt. Asto near Lama (1o 18.IX.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); Quenza (4ठ 12.IV.1952, L. Kahmann, SMF); (1 ㅇ, E. Simon, coll. T. Thorell in NHRS, E.tricolor - det. E. Simon, probably syntype); ( $5 \nrightarrow 1 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{MNHN}$, syntypes); ( $2 \AA$, MNHN, E. niger - det. E. Simon); ( $1 \hat{\delta}, \mathrm{MNHN}$, E. tricolor - det. E. Simon).

## Phenology

Eresus moravicus sp. n. matures in April, copulates in AprilJune and produces an egg sac in June (populations in the Czech Republic).

## Habitat

In the Czech Republic, E. moravicus sp. n. occurs mainly in rocky steppes with the dominant grasses Festuca valesiaca, Stipa dasyphylla, S. capillata, Botriochloa ischaemum or Carex
humilis (Festucion valesiacae, less often F. pallentis). More rarely, it occurs on sandy soils with steppe grasslands characterized by the grass Stipa borysthenica (Plantagini-Festucion ovinae).

## Distribution

This species occurs in the Pannonian region, Balkan Peninsula and warm regions of the Austrian Alps.
The northern border of E. moravicus sp. n. distribution probably runs through the Czech Republic.

Eresus sandaliatus (Martini \& Goeze, 1778) (figs 2S-AA, 3SAA, 4CFIL, 5CF, 6).
Aranea sandaliata Martini \& Goeze in Lister 1778: Dơ (287). Eresus sandaliatus Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994: Dơ ¢ $\ddagger$ (217), B (fig. 3), V (fig. 4), Germany?; Ratschker \& Bellmann 1995: Dơ $\ddagger$ (807-809), B (fig. 5), V (fig. 6), after Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994; Bellmann 2001: Dơ ¢ (40), Cơ (1, 41), C $¢$ (1, 41), B (40, after Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994), V (40, after Ratschker \& Bellmann 1994), Germany?; Almquist 2005: Dơ $\ddagger$ (41), C (fig. 73a), B (fig. 73b-c), Sweden.

## Junior synonyms

Aranea purpurata Panzer 1804: Dơ (47), Cơ (pl. 32, fig. 20).
Eresus purpuratus Ratschker 1992: B (figs 93-98), E (fig. 79), V (fig. 80), Germany: Schernfeld near Koblenz, Breitenfurt near Nuremberg, Fridingen.
Eresus annulatus Hahn 1821: Cô (pl. 5, fig. B); Hahn 1832: D $\widehat{6}$ (not $\uparrow$ as stated by the author) (47-48), Cơ (fig. 36), Germany, Bavaria: Eichstätt, Kelheim an der Donau; Koch 1846: D ơ (14), Cơ (087); Simon 1873: Dơ (341-342), Paris.

## Misidentifications

Eresus sandaliatus Topçu et al. 2005: E (fig. 4), Turkey.
Eresus cinnaberinus Blackwall 1861: Dơ (46), Cơ (pl. 3, fig. 23), England?; Herman 1879 (variety $\beta$ ): D $\begin{gathered}\text { (292), }\end{gathered}$ Germany?; Roberts 1995: Dơ $\ddagger(78), \mathrm{C}$ ¢ (pl. 1, fig. 2b, perhaps subadult), England?; Roberts 1998: D $\begin{gathered}\text { o } q \text { (80), B (80), E (80, }\end{gathered}$ one subadult), V (80, one subadult); Řezáč et al. 1999: C $\ddagger$ (208), Czech Republic; Johannesen \& Veith 2001: specimens from Denmark, England, and the localities near the Danube river in south-eastern Germany; Thaler \& Knoflach 2002 (E. cinnaberinus s.l.): D đ (425), Cđ (fig 40, 42), B (figs 21-22), Austria: Bazallerkopf.
Eresus niger Braendegaard 1966: Dơ (36-37), D $\ddagger$ (36), B (fig. 22), E (fig. 21), Denmark?; Roberts 1985: Dơ (46), is.B (fig. 12b), is.E (fig. 12b, subadult), England? (doubtful identity).

Type specimens. Eresus annulatus: Germany: Eichstädt (1 $\begin{gathered}\text { ® }\end{gathered}$ summer, repository unknown, not examined); Germany: Kelheim an der Donau ( $1 \delta$ autumn 1811, repository unknown, not examined). Eresus purpuratus: Germany: Regensburg ( $\delta^{\hat{\prime}}$, repository unknown, not examined). Eresus sandaliatus: Germany: Regensburg ( ${ }^{\star}, 18$ th century, repository unknown, not examined).

Localities and material examined. AUSTRIA: north Tirol, Bazallerkopf (1才 8.VI.2000, K. Thaler, BT).

CZECH REPUBLIC: Numerous materials from this country will be listed elsewhere.

DENMARK: west end of Mossö 1. (1 i 4.IX.2005, M. Řezáč, MR); Rye Sönderskov, between towns Gl Rye and Salten (1 ㅇ 4.IX.2005, M. Řezáč, MR); Enebærbakken (1olㅇ 26.IV.2007, J. Lissner, MR).

FRANCE: Paris ( $1 \delta$, E. Simon, MNHN; 1 ${ }^{\hat{\prime}}$, T. Thorell, NHRS, E. purpuratus - det. E. Simon); (1 $\left.{ }^{\text {o }}, \mathrm{HUJ}\right)$.

GERMANY: Bavaria: Kallmünz (1 $\begin{gathered}\text {, J. Johannesen, MR); }\end{gathered}$ Altmühltal ( $1 \delta^{\hat{\prime}}, \mathrm{J}$. Johannesen, MR).

NETHERLANDS: Gelderland, Caitwickerzand (1 ${ }^{\circ}$ 21.I.2004, J. Noordijk, RMNH).

SWEDEN: Eriksdal (5 ${ }^{\text {o }} 3 .-15 . \mathrm{V} .2006$, R. Gerell, MR).
Diagnosis. Males of this species are distinguished from the other two central European species by almost entirely black hind legs (Fig. 4C, Table 1), opisthosoma with typically three pairs of black spots that are usually not bordered with white hairs, and by a conductor with a strong, almost straight terminal tooth and large, U-shaped groove (Fig. 5C). Females are recognized by an almost rectangular epigyne (Fig. 4I), by indistinctly lobed spermathecae, and by copulatory ducts constituting usually circular organs (Fig. 4L).

## Description

Male. Prosoma (Fig. 4CF): 2.9-4.1 (mean 3.6) mm long. The cephalic part is black with scattered white hairs, is slightly prominent, is almost as wide as the thoracic part, neglible extension to the front, and the area between PME and PLE is almost flat. The thoracic part is black-brown and is either bordered or entirely covered with red hairs. Chelicerae: Black with short black hairs. Legs (Fig. 4C): Black with wide rings of white hairs around the joints. The dorsal sides of Fe III and IV with a few red hairs basally. The dorsal sides of Pt II-IV, and partly Ti IV, often have a longitudinal band of white hairs. Opisthosoma (Fig. 4C): Dorsally red with three, rarely two, pairs of black spots of variable size. Usually neither the red area nor the black spots are bordered with white hairs. The ventral side of the opisthosoma is black, and only the branchial opercula have red hairs. Palp: The conductor is almost smooth, slightly longer than wide in the lateral view (Fig. 5C); terminal tooth strong, distinctly higher than the lamella, and slightly incurvated towards the groove. The groove is large and U-shaped. The basal part of the conductor is distinctly wide in the ventral view (Fig. 5F).

Female. Prosoma: 4.2-7.2 (mean 5.4) mm long, of the same shape as in males. The cephalic part black has long black hairs and scattered short white hairs. It also has pale rusty hairs around the median eyes. Chelicerae: Brown-black with long
black hairs and scattered short pale rusty hairs on the basal half. Legs: Black, dorsal side of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Ti}$ and Mt of legs I-III with scattered and, distally, with clustered short pale rusty hairs. Opisthosoma: Violet-black with long black hairs, sometimes with scattered short white hairs anteriorly. Epigyne (Figs 2S-AA and 4I): Almost rectangular, anterior part with a negligible longitudinal bar, anterior parts of fissures incurvated sidewards. Vulva (Figs 3S-AA and 4L): Spermathecae composed of indistinct lobes, reaching further to the sides than the copulatory ducts. Copulatory ducts constitute finely sclerotized circular organs in the anterior part of the vulva.

## Remark

Thanks to good colour paintings and arachnologically well-explored type localities, the names E. purpuratus and E. annulatus can be unambiguously identified as synonyms of $E$. sandaliatus, even though the type specimens probably no longer exist. Simon (1873) recognized and recorded this species from France, but later rejected it (Simon 1914). Since then, this species has been neglected by many authors. Recently, Ratschker \& Bellmann (1995) and Roberts (1998) redescribed the species. However, Johannesen \& Veith (2001) refer only to E. sandaliatus as a colour variety, whereas Thaler \& Knoflach (2002) refer to it as E. cinnaberinus s.l. (in a broad sense).

## Pbenology

Eresus sandaliatus matures in August, copulates in April-June and produces an egg sac in June (populations in the Czech Republic).

## Habitat

This species occurs in xerothermic grasslands (Koelerio-Pbleion phleoidis, less often Festucion pallentis and F. valesiacae) characterized by the grasses Festuca rupicola, Avenochloa pratensis, Nardus stricta and Carex humilis, and heath Calluna vulgaris. It often builds its nests in tufts of moss, terrestrial lichens or grasses. In Northern Europe, it occurs in dry heathlands, and on sandy slopes with the heath C. vulgaris and the grass Avenella flexuosa.

## Distribution

Eresus sandaliatus has expanded the furthest North of all Eresus species (Fig. 6). It occurs in Northern Europe (Denmark, southern Sweden, southern England, Schleswig-Holstein in Germany) as well as the western part of central Europe (Czech Republic, Danube region in Bavaria, Austrian Alps, northern France). The eastern distribution border runs through the Czech Republic. Records from the Pyrenees (Ratschker \& Bellmann 1995) and Turkey (Topçu et al. 2005) refer to other species with unsolved nomenclature.

## Molecular phylogenetics - allozymes

Allozyme analyses clustered Czech E. kollari with eastern German E. kollari, and Czech E. sandaliatus with the Danube


Fig. 6 Distribution map of the three Eresus species. Circle, Eresus kollari; asterisk, Eresus moravicus sp. n.; triangle, Eresus sandaliatus.


Fig. 7 Maximum-likelihood allozyme tree. Underlined populations are from the Czech Republic. Asterisks (*) indicate significant ( $95 \%$ confidence interval) internal branch lengths. Note that Czech Eresus kollari populations do not occupy an independent branch.
and Danish populations of this species. These results confirmed previous findings that clearly separated E. sandaliatus, E. kollari (west), E. kollari (east) and E. moravicus sp. n. The division between western E. kollari and E. sandaliatus was caused by allelic fixation at three loci $(G p d, H b d b, P e p B 1)$. Introgression was suggested for central European E. kollari,
which had a mixture of these specific alleles. The western E. kollari alleles dominated in the central European samples, leading to greater E. kollari affinity. The intermediate status of central European E. kollari was confirmed by the unrooted ML tree (Fig. 7); central European E. kollari did not cluster on an independent branch. Introgression involving $E$. moravicus

Table 3 Nomenclature and distribution of haplotypes found in Eresus ordered by major- and sublineage divergence. Haplotypes based on alignment of 548 characters of the 16 S and ND1 mtDNA genes. Lineage divergence between the three major lineages (west, east, south) was $6.1 \%-8.2 \%$. Lineage divergence between sublineages was $3.0 \%-4.4 \%$. Nomenclature example: W (major lineage West)-a (sublineage a)-1 (haplotype 1). Haplotypes were named in the order they were found. (-) designates new haplotypes in this study.

|  | Haplotype |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lineage | name | Old name <br>  <br> Veith 2001) | Distribution | Genbank <br> accession <br> numbers |
| West | W-a-1 | West1 | Western-D, CH | AF374171 |
| East | E-a-1 | East1 | Eastern-D, CZ | AF374172 |
|  | E-a-2 | East2 | Eastern-D | AF374173 |
|  | E-a-3 | - | CZ | EU442183 |
|  | E-a-4 | - | CZ | EU442184 |
|  | E-a-5 | - | CZ | EU442185 |
|  | E-a-6 | Danube | D (Danube), CZ | AF374180 |
|  | E-a-7 | DK1 | DK, GB, S | AF374177 |
|  | E-a-8 | DK2 | DK | AF374178 |
|  | E-b-1 | Bojnice | CZ, SK | AF374174 |
|  | E-c-1 | Greece1 | GR | AF374176 |
|  | E-c-2 | Greece2 | GR | AF374184 |
|  | E-c-3 | Greece3 | GR | AF374185 |
|  | E-d-1 | DK3 | DK | AF374179 |
|  | E-d-2 | - | CZ | EU442186 |
|  | E-d-3 | - | CZ | EU442187 |
| South | S-a-1 | South1 | I (Lago di Garda) | AF374175 |

D, Germany; CZ, Czech Republic; SK, Slovakia; DK, Denmark; CH, Switzerland; GB, Great Britain; I, Italy; GR, Greece; S, Sweden.
sp. n. cannot be ruled out because some common E. moravicus sp. n. alleles (Fum allele 4, Got1 allele 1; PepB3 allele 3) were found in a few central European E. kollari populations and the $G p d$ and $H b d b$ alleles are identical to western E. kollari. However, the E. moravicus sp. n. specific $H k$ allele 1 was not found in neighbour $E$. kollari populations. An allele frequency table of Czech and companion populations used in this study is given in Appendix A.

## Molecular phylogenetics - mtDNA

Sequence analysis based on 548 bp of the mtDNA genes 16 S , tRNA $_{\text {Leu }}$ and ND1 from 32 individuals from the Czech Republic and Slovakia revealed nine haplotypes, of which five were new to this study (Tables 2 and 3). The new haplotypes belonged to two sublineages of the eastern Balkan lineage (Johannesen \& Veith 2001). The haplotypes from Johannesen \& Veith (2001) have been renamed to take into account the interaction between geography and lineage subdivision. New and old haplotype names, accession numbers and haplotype distributions are shown in Table 3.

A partition-homogeneity test that included outgroups showed similar evolution of the $16 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{tRNA} \mathrm{Leu}$ and ND1
genes, $P>0.90$, thus the genes were analysed together. BIC estimated the Tamura-Nei +I distance model $(\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{I})$ as the best model, $-\ln L=1163.0929$. The best trees found by NJ based on $\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{I}$ and LogDet distance models had minimum evolution scores $=0.35550$ and 0.27703 , respectively (Fig. 8). The phenogram confirmed the division of Eresus into three major lineages (uncorrected $P$-distances: 0.0610.082 ). The major lineage E was further divided into three sublineages (uncorrected $P$-distances: $0.030-0.044$ ). The MP heuristic search resulted in three most parsimonious trees (tree lengths $148, \mathrm{CI}=0.764, \mathrm{RI}=0.685, \mathrm{HI}=0.236$ ), of which 59 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative and 48 characters were parsimony-informative. The three trees all resolved the major- and sublineages, but differed in the relationship among haplotypes within sublineages. ML analysis found one most like tree, $-\ln =1353.6799$. ML analysis rooted E. walckenaeri within the E-a lineage. This did not change the phylogenetic distinctness or relationship of any lineage and explained the lack of high bootstrap scores at the base of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 8).

Two sublineages (E-a and E-d) showed admixture of haplotypes with respect to E. sandaliatus and central European (Elbe) E. kollari: (i) E. sandaliatus lineage E-d, previously found only in Denmark (DK3), was observed in one E. kollari and one E. sandaliatus in the Czech Republic. (ii) Haplotype E-a-1, found previously only in eastern E. kollari, was observed in Czech E. sandaliatus in the population from Křivoklátsko - Velká Pleš. In all cases, the individuals had allozymes of the correct morphospecies. To resolve specific and geographical haplotype relationships and to understand the extent of possible introgression within clade E-a, we performed a gene tree analysis (Fig. 9). Discrete partitions of Danish and Czech haplotypes in the gene tree indicated that hybridization involved only Elbe spiders. At the syntopic site Koda in the Český kras area, mtDNA haplotypes were discretely distributed between $E$. kollari and $E$. sandaliatus. Here, the Koda E. sandaliatus population had the same haplotype as German Danube E. sandaliatus (Johannesen \& Veith 2001).

## Discussion

The revision of Eresus from central Europe yielded two forms, which we recognized as E. kollari and E. sandaliatus, and a new form, which we named $E$. moravicus sp. n. These three taxa are morphologically very similar, but differ in the shape of the copulatory organs and prosoma, the body size, and the colour pattern. No intermediate morphological forms were detected. The three species further differ in phenology, habitat preferences and genetics. However, genetic data indicated that populations of $E$. kollari in central Europe were putative hybrids of $E$. kollari and $E$. sandaliatus. Therefore, the morphospecies E. kollari is genetically paraphyletic. Cladistically, species are monophyletic entities.


Fig. 8 Heuristic neighbor-joining phylogram (LogDet distance) of Eresus mtDNA sequence evolution. Bootstrap scores represent LogDet - NJ, Tamura - Ne + I-NJ, MP and ML analyses, respectively. ML analysis rooted the tree at the base of the E-a-lineage (x), whereas NJ and MP rooted the tree between the three major lineages. Country of origin: D, Germany; CZ, Czech Republic; SK, Slovakia; DK, Denmark; CH, Switzerland; GB, Great Britain; I, Italy; S, Sweden.


Fig. 9 Gene tree of the E-a lineage showing species-specific and geographic distributions of haplotypes in eastern Eresus kollari and Eresus sandaliatus. Solid lines enclose haplotypes found within species. Dotted lines encircle the geographic distribution of haplotypes. Note that only Czech spiders show haplotype admixture between species. The haplotype numbers (1-8) refer to their numbers within the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{a}(-x)$ lineage as shown in Fig. 6 and Tables 2 and 3.

However, this approach rules out unfinished lineage sorting in new species or that taxa experiencing introgressive hybridization may effectively function as one parental species. Below, we discuss differences among the species and implications for and against the status of the species.

## Morphology

The morphology of the studied Eresus species is uniform. It is in accordance with their ecological uniformity: all three species exhibit the same life style and occur in very similar habitats. Even the most complex macro-characters, such as the colouration and shape of the copulatory organs, are not as useful as in other groups of spiders. The usage of colouration for species discrimination in Eresus is constrained by its intraspecific variability. In some groups of spiders, for example, representatives of the families Salticidae and Lycosidae, where colouration plays an important role in recognition of conspecific partners, it is highly species specific (e.g. Kronestedt 1990; Azarkina 2002). Eresids, on the other hand, dispose of poor vision (Bristowe 1958), they have evolved a varicolored pattern as protection against predators (CloudsleyThompson 1995). The copulatory organs of Eresus are simple, providing little space for diversification. Nevertheless, their shape (Figs 2-4G-L and 5) is still the most explicit diagnostic character among the studied taxa. Concerning this character, E. sandaliatus and E. moravicus sp. n. are similar, while E. kollari differs. We were not able to morphologically distinguish pure E. kollari from populations of $E$. kollari $\times$ E. sandaliatus hybrid origin, despite putting great effort into analysing as many specimens of both taxa as possible. The hybridogenic taxon possesses no intermediate traits of the details studied. The
morphology of E. kollari is extraordinarily homogenous: the same morphotypes occur throughout a vast geographical area ranging from Spain to Novosibirsk in central Russia. Therefore, only molecular analyses can answer whether the hybrid taxon is restricted to central Europe or has expanded its range into central Asia.

## Distributions and babitat preferences

Distribution areas of E. kollari and E. moravicus sp. n. overlap in the Czech Republic, and areas of E. sandaliatus and $E$. moravicus sp. n. overlap in the Austrian Alps (cf. Thaler \& Knoflach 2002). Overlapping distributions imply that these taxa are not mere subspecies, which are the product of reduced gene flow between geographically isolated populations (Kraus 2002). Subspecies are predicted to lose morphological specificity due to renewed gene flow upon contact (Grant et al. 2005).

## Genetic evidence for species and hybrid speciation

In general, molecular data corroborate morphological divergence among the central European Eresus. Both mtDNA sequence divergence and allozyme allele frequencies support monophyly of E. moravicus sp. n. and west European E. kollari. Eresus sandaliatus is monophyletic for allozymes, but consists of two mtDNA lineages (E-a and E-d) that have not been monophyletically resolved within the eastern (E) mtDNA lineage. Nevertheless, E. sandaliatus possesses different chromosome numbers from $E$. kollari and $E$. moravicus sp. n. (J. Král, unpubl. data). The level of divergence between the two E. sandaliatus mtDNA sublineages (E-a and E-d) and the finding that they share diagnostic allozyme alleles suggest that $E$. sandaliatus is an ancient species. In contrast to the findings above, central European E. kollari are genetically complex, showing mtDNA belonging to the E. sandaliatus lineage and an admixture of western E. kollari and E. sandaliatus enzyme alleles. This implies that central European E. kollari are introgressed, that is, hybrid, individuals (Johannesen \& Veith 2001). These authors cautioned that: 'cohesive factors such as post-glacial secondary contacts [i.e. gene flow] (may) prevent the budding off of new fully independent species'. However, the extended genetic data set presented here show that species specificity (and hybrid specificity) is upheld in areas of range contact between eastern E. kollari and E. sandaliatus. We therefore argue that the three Eresus species are separate evolutionary units, even though hybridization may occasionally occur.

At present, we do not know whether central European E. kollari functions as a distinct hybrid species or rather should be considered an allopatric (and introgressed) part of the E. kollari species assemblage. Speciation caused by introgressive hybridization is common in plants (Stebbins 1959; Arnold 1997), but its significance in animal evolution remains
controversial. Nevertheless, Mallet (2005) showed that hybridization on a per species basis is much more common in animals than anticipated. In fact, speciation via introgressive hybrididization (DeMarais et al. 1992; Taylor et al. 1996; Salzburger et al. 2002; Smith et al. 2003) and/or the establishment of viable hybrids (F2 and backcrosses) (Sperling \& Harrison 1994; Langor \& Sperling 1997; Weisrock et al. 2005; Johannesen et al. 2006) is suggested for a wide range of taxa, often involving very divergent lineages. Hybrid species are often morphologically intermediate to the parent species. However, morphology is not a predictor of genotype in E. kollari. Reasons for this may be manifold and include unidentified cryptic species, elevated mutation rates or lack of Dobzansky-Muller incompatibility during hybridization of old lineages. Interestingly, a decoupling of the morphological change from lineage divergence may be common in spiders (Hedin 1997; Bond et al. 2001; Hedin \& Wood 2002; Johannesen et al. 2007).
Hybrid speciation in E. kollari would involve homoploid hybrid speciation (J. Král, unpubl. data). Detecting homoploid hybrid speciation and distinguishing it from introgression without speciation is difficult. Simulations show that homoploid hybrid speciation requires ecological and spatial isolation (Buerkle et al. 2000). Ecological isolation results from adaptation to environments not occupied by the parent species, whereas any form of spatial isolation contributes to genetic isolation. Seehausen (2004) argued that hybridization might drive some adaptive radiations, and specified a geographical test to examine hybrid radiations (for E. kollari this considers a two-species scenario): 'the geographical distribution ... should be significantly associated with contact zones between closely related species or divergent populations of allopatric origin.' These expectations fit with most aspects of the Czech Eresus populations. Although the two species are associated with post-glacial environments, probably with different refugia and spatial isolation related to psychrotolerance, similar habitat requirements indicate that competition, and not only adaptations to new habitats, are also responsible for discrete distributions. The evolution of putative hybrids in Eresus suggests that alternating ranges during and after the glacial periods resulted in isolation and secondary contact interactions that might have reshuffled the genomes.

The eastern central European E. kollari form a coherent genetic group, which is distributed throughout the Elbe drainage system. The combined genetic and geographical coherensy supports a single historical origin of this lineage. If gene flow happens on a regular basis, sympatric/parapatric populations of the parental species should merge (Grant et al. 2005), and local hybridization events would create nonspecific combinations of enzyme alleles. This was not found. Furthermore, within the E. sandaliatus E-a lineage, the slightly divergent Danish E. sandaliatus E-a-7/8 haplotypes were not
involved in hybridization (Fig. 9). This suggests that the hybrid event was related to range alterations and/or dispersal phases of isolated E. sandaliatus populations (i.e. Czech populations), perhaps during the most recent ice age. Nevertheless, we also found evidence for a second introgression event: in one individual with an E. kollari phenotype, we found $E$. sandaliatus mtDNA, sublineage E-d. Again, nuclear genes delimited all E-d spiders to the correct morphological species. This event resembles the previously described hybrid event: E. sandaliatus mtDNA is found in E. kollari (never vice versa), and the putative hybrid expressed $E$. kollari morphology and a dominance of $E$. kollari nuclear genes. Hence, hybridization involves female $E$. sandaliatus and male E. kollari. This mating direction is in agreement with the phenology of the species: male $E$. kollari always have the first opportunity to mate.

## Summary

Morphological, behavioural and genetic data support at least three Eresus species in central Europe. Morphological conservatism and lack of distinct morphological characters makes it difficult to delimit Eresus species systematically, particularly hybrids (Mallet 2005). To our present knowledge, evidence for hybridization has been found only in central Europe, where post-glacial re-colonizations have brought diverged lineages into secondary contact. Hybridization must be rare because lineages were genetically welldefined. Presently, we cannot distinguish central and western European E. kollari morphologically. We therefore retain them as one species. However, detailed future research may divide E. kollari into E. kollari (as an eastern 'hybrid' lineage) and Eresus sp. n. as a western lineage. This research should include population-based analyses (Maddison \& Knowles 2006) of western E. kollari. It should be designed to delimit specificity relative to introgressive status in contact zones of Eresus and to take local morphological anomalies into account.
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Appendix A Allele frequency table used for revision of central European Eresus.

| Locus | Allele | E. kollari East |  |  |  |  |  | E. kollari West |  |  |  | E. sandaliatus |  |  |  | E. moravicus <br> SK | Eresus sp. $\square$ <br> I | E. walckenaeri TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | D | D | D | CZ | CZ | CZ | D | D | D | CH | D | DK | DK | CZ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 136 | WA | FRB | Podbaba | Div. Sarka | Koda (syn) | RP-1.5 | RP-1. 1 | RP-1.4 | Wallis | Fridingen | Allinge | Addit | Velka Ples | Bojnice | Lago di Garda | Hurma |
| Aat-1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.65 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 0.94 |
|  | 3 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 |
| Aat-2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.90 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acon-2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.50 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Adh | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.43 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.64 |
|  | 5 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.97 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.36 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ak-1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apk-1 | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Apk-2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.70 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | 1.00 |
| Fum | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.67 | 0 | 1.00 |
| G-3-pdh | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| G-6-pdh | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Gpd | 1 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.79 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 |
| Hbdh | 1 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
| $H k$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.83 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix A Continued.

| Locus | Allele | E. kollari East |  |  |  |  |  | E. kollari West |  |  |  | E. sandaliatus |  |  |  | E. moravicus <br> SK | Eresus sp. $\square$ <br> I | E. walckenaeri TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | D | D | D | CZ | CZ | CZ | D | D | D | CH | D | DK | DK | CZ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 136 | WA | FRB | Podbaba | Div. Sarka | Koda (syn) | RP-1.5 | RP-1.1 | RP-1.4 | Wallis | Fridingen | Allinge | Addit | Velka Ples | Bojnice | Lago di Garda | Hurma |
| Idh-1 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.70 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
|  | 4 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.30 | 0.93 | 0.56 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.58 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
| Idh-2 | 2 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0.10 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Ldh | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.39 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mdh-1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mdh-2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mpi | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 |
| Pep-B1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 1.00 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pep-B2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pep-B3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.67 | 0 | 1.00 |
|  | 4 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pgi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pgm | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| $N$ |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 18 | 33 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 8 |

D, Germany; CZ, Czech Republic; SK, Slovakia; DK, Denmark; CH, Switzerland; I, Italy; TR, Turkey.

