Naturalista sicil., S. IV, XLII (1), 2018, pp. 21-29

LOUIS F. CASSAR

PAPILIO SAHARAE OBERTHÜR, 1879 (Lepidoptera Papilionidae) ON THE HYBLEAN PLATEAU, SICILY: CASE OF A RELICT POPULATION DUE TO CLIMATE-DRIVEN PHENOMENA?

SUMMARY

A female specimen, likely of *Papilio saharae*, is reported from Giarratana in Sicily, some 30 km away (linear distance) from Lentini, where the first recorded specimen was taken in 1978 (MOONEN, 2012). Both localities, Lentini and Giarratana, lie on the Hyblean Plateau. Conventional morphometric examination appears to indicate that the species is *Papilio saharae*, subject to the limitations described below.

Keywords: ecological refugia, vicariance, dispersal, glacials, interglacials, geographical isolation

RIASSUNTO

Papilio saharae Oberthür, 1879 (Lepidoptera Papilionidae) nell'Altopiano Ibleo (Sicilia): caso di popolazione relitta dovuto a fenomeni climatici? A Giarratana (Sicilia) è stato raccolto un esemplare femmina, verosimilmente appartenente alla specie Papilio saharae; la località dista c. 30 km da Lentini, ove è stato raccolto il primo esemplare nel 1978 (MOONEN, 2012). Entrambe le località si trovano nell'altopiano Ibleo. L'esame morfometrico convenzionale indicherebbe appunto che la specie è *P. saharae*, con il minimo dubbio dovuto a limitazioni sotto riportate.

Parole-chiave: rifugi ecologici, vicarianza, dispersione, glaciali, interglaciali, isolamento geografico

INTRODUCTION

Papilio saharae was initially treated by OBERTHÜR (1879) as a variety of Papilio machaon – [Papilo machaon var. saharae Oberthür 1879, Etud. Ent., 4:

68, TL: Laghouat (Algeria)]. Subsequently, OBERTHÜR (1888) described P. machaon hospitonoides from a larva, which, it transpires, was that of P. saharae (PITTAWAY et al., 1994). While some authors continued to treat it as a subspecies, or lower, of *Papilio machaon* Linnaeus, 1758 (SEITZ, 1908; TURATI, 1924; PELLECCHIA et al., 2002), other workers, including some with extensive field and taxonomic experience of north African and Middle Eastern butterfly fauna, consider it a distinct species (PITTAWAY, 1985; LARSEN, 1990; PITTAWAY et al., 1994; TENNENT, 1996; TOLMAN & LEWINGTON, 1998; TARRIER & DELACRE, 2008; TSHIKOLOVETS, 2011; LERAUT, 2016). This separation is based upon a variety of different morphometric characters (HIGGINS & RILEY, 1978; PITTAWAY, 1985; LARSEN, 1990; PIERRON, 1990; PITTAWAY et al., 1994; TENNENT, 1996; TOLMAN & LEWINGTON, 1998; MOONEN, 2012), as well as on fairly disparate habitat and biotope preferences (LARSEN, 1983; 1990; CLARKE & LARSEN, 1986), and "some evidence of interspecific sterility" in the Maghreb (CLARKE & SHEPPARD, 1956; LARSEN, 1990). On the basis of what appears to be discernible morphological evidence, the author of the present work also subscribes to the notion of heterospecificity, that is, of two distinct taxa, even if there remains much scope, taxonomically (morphometric and molecular), to further examine the biogeography of the P. machaon-complex within the central Mediterranean region and the Maghreb.

Range

The species' known global range extends from Morocco to the Arabian Peninsula, including Yemen (PITTAWAY, 1985; LARSEN, 1990; PITTAWAY et al., 1994) and Oman, where two specimens were recorded, respectively from Wadi Tiwi in Sharqiya [larva collected on March 1st 1990, from which a male eventually emerged], and from Wadi Abyad in Batinah [a female taken fifteen days later] (pers. comm. Ebejer, 2018). The nominotypical P. saharae saharae is present along the northern fringes of the Sahara from Morocco, continuing eastward across the Maghreb and into Egypt where it is known from Siwa oasis, and from various locations within the eastern desert between the Nile and Suez on the Red Sea. Within the Levant, it extends across parts of the Sinai Peninsula, the Negev and southern Jordan, and into the northern Hejaz. Although P. saharae saharae largely occurs in hyper-arid locations within the geographical range described, the species has also been recorded from arid environments nearer the Mediterranean coast, such as Sallum in Egypt (LARSEN, 1990), on the Libyan coast at Tripoli, Barca and Benghazi (CLARKE & SHEPPARD, 1956; SEYER, 1974), and in Tunisia at Gabès and Gafsa (PIERRON, 1990). In April 2007, the present author also took a female at El Khouala (remote village near Hachichina, on the Gulf of Gabès, the environment of which can best be described as eremic coastal steppe). The subspecies *P. saharae rathjensi* occurs in the Asir region of Saudi Arabia and in Yemen, typically in mesic habitats within *djebel* environments - rocky uplands and montane zones (LARSEN, 1983, 1984, 1990; PITTAWAY, 1985; MEERMAN & BOOMSMA, 1986).

Prior to the present record, a male was taken in Lentini, Sicily (also on the Hyblean Plateau), on 20th September 1978 by H. van Oorschot and came to light, by chance rather than intention, during curation of the Palaearctic Papilionidae collections at the Zoological Museum in Amsterdam (MOONEN, 2012). Consequent to this initial record, LERAUT (2016) claims to have discovered several specimens of the species from Sicily in the collections of the natural history museum of Paris, but affords no further detail on field data or determination methods. Additionally, LERAUT (2016), proposes (based on Internet material) that *Papilio machaon* from the Maltese Islands be referred to as *P. saharae melitensis* Eller, 1936, **stat. rev**.; however, he provides no basis for this assertion.

DIAGNOSTICS

The species has been raised to specific rank on the basis of a number of fairly constant diagnostic characters, notably but not exclusively: the number of antennal segments [30-31 for *saharae*; 33-36 for *machaon*]; the size and shape of the hindwing anal fold, rendering the red anal eyespot smaller, often less rounded and somewhat ovoid in *saharae*; and, the size of the harpe (male genitalia) and the number of teeth on it [short harpe with 7-10 teeth in *saharae*; long harpe with 12-24 teeth in *machaon*]. Various other morphological diagnostic features have been proposed, such as overall size (wingspan and forewing length), larval colour patterns, and, coloration and markings (wings and abdomen), and tail length of the imago. Habitat-type preferences and flight period have also been cited as a means of distinguishing one species from another (LARSEN, 1990; PITTAWAY *et al.*, 1994; TENNENT, 1996; TOLMAN & LEWINGTON, 1998; MOONEN, 2012), although it transpires that both species are capable of producing a number of generations, however, with *P. saharae* being more reliant on annual rainfall patterns (PITTAWAY *et al.*, 1994).

MATERIAL, METHODS AND RESULTS

The specimen in question was taken in flight at Giarratana, Sicily, by means of a kite-net.

Papilio saharae saharae Oberthür 1879

ITALY: SICILY, Giarratana [37°02'24.94"N, 14°47'42.54"E], at 473 m amsl, 31.v.2018, 1 ♀ (taken in flight at 13:20 hrs. GMT+2).

Diagnosis: Antennal segments: 31; FW length: 43 mm; HW vannal (anal) fold fairly compressed, with red ovoid ocellus or eyespot (tornal area) dimensions: 4.25 mm x 3.0 mm; smattering of yellow scales over the dark markings of the FW basal and postbasal region, and of the HW basal and inner margin areas, rendering them seemingly lighter in colour.



Fig. 1 — *Papilio saharae saharae* Oberthür, female. Italia, Sicilia, Giarratana. 31.v.2018. leg. L.F. Cassar (Photo credit: G. Bonett).

In addition to the Giarratana specimen, material examined for comparative purposes comprised a (dry set) series in the author's collections of: *Papilio saharae saharae* specimens collected from Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia; and, *Papilio machaon* specimens from Malta (*P. m. melitensis*), Sicily (*P. m. sphyrus*), Morocco (*P. m. mauretanica*), Lebanon (*P. m. syriacus*), and, Sardinia and central Italy (*P. m. emisphyrus*). Also examined were examples of *Papilio hospiton* (author's collection and from the Museo di Scienze Naturali Belvì).

Morphometric analysis, involving conventional measurement, was employed, supplemented by stereoscopic microscopy. A total of 46 (dry) specimens were examined, consisting of the above-listed taxa, the main purpose being to establish whether antennal segment number and forewing length were discernible characters in distinguishing between *P. machaon* and *P. saharae*. The following results were obtained:

Table 1

A comparison of antennal segments and forewing length (range and average) between species, results of which are quite similar to those obtained by PITTAWAY et al. (1994). Limitations include the disparate number of individuals examined from each species and unequal assortment of males and females

Species	Locality	Antennal segments (average number)	FW length range (mm)	FW length average (mm)	Specimens examined
Papilio saharae saharae	Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia	30.5	32-44	35.57	8
Papilio machaon melitensis	Malta	33.89	34-45.5	40.07	18
Papilio machaon sphyrus	Sicily	33.4	36-45.5	41.8	5
Papilio machaon mauretanica	Morocco	34	38-39	38.5	1
Papilio machaon syriacus	Lebanon	32.25	44.5-45	44.75	2
Papilio machaon emisphyrus	Sardinia, Tuscany	33.25	35-43	39.33	6
Papilio hospiton	Sardinia and Corsica	30.83	31.5-36	33.92	6

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Apart from the fairly discernable differences between some of the larval instars of *P. machaon* and *P. saharae* (MEERMAN & BOOMSMA, 1986; PITTAWAY *et al.*, 1994; TOLMAN & LEWINGTON, 1998), it does not seem possible to rely on wing markings for identification, given considerable variability in colour patterns and marking combinations (particularly in *P. machaon*), which are known to vary seasonally, geographically, as well as within broods (intra-generational morphological variation). Generally, this variability also applies to wingspan size, even if *P. saharae* is commonly portrayed as the smaller of the two species. TSHIKOLOVETS (2011), however, suggests that the two species fall within the same wingspan size range; it may be worth noting that wingspan measurements can be misleading since specimen spreading style varies according to individual preferences. PITTAWAY *et al.* (1994) further claim that

it is virtually impossible to separate the two species with the naked eye, which renders the likelihood of *P. saharae*'s presence being overlooked (in peripheral locations where the two species may be sympatric – see below) not too farfetched. Moreover, there appears to be no single character by which to make a fail-safe determination to separate *P. machaon* from *P. saharae* adult forms (TENNENT, 1996).

Environmental conditions, shaped by both biotic and abiotic factors including landscape structure (particularly the extent of fragmentation), topography and altitude, habitat gradient, type and seasonality, water balance and degree of aridity, as well as the species' nutrition regime at larval stage – may also have a bearing on morphological variation. Larval host-plants across its established range include Deverra chloranthus, D. scoparia, D. tortuosa, Seseli varium, Ferula communis, Ferula sinaica, Pycnocyla glauca and P. tomentosa (OBERTHÜR, 1915; FAROULT, 1917; BENYAMINI, 1984; PITTAWAY, 1985: PIERRON, 1990; TSHIKOLOVETS, 2011). Of these food-plant species, however, only Ferula communis occurs in Sicily; the other species, with the exception of S. varium (which occurs in the NE of Italy and eastwards to Anatolia), typically occur in Saharan or Arabian arid and hyper-arid environments. LARSEN (1990) further suggests that the larva may also feed on Haplo*phyllum* sp., some species of which occur in the Mediterranean; in addition, other closely related Rutaceae occur in Sicily, such as Ruta chalepensis, on which the larvae of P. machaon regularly feed. Moreover, one finds other umbellifers (family Apiaceae) in Sicily such as Thapsia garganica and Cachrys ferulago, which are superficially similar to Ferula (pers. comm. Lanfranco, 2018), and which, therefore, may potentially serve as host-plants for P. saharae larvae.

From their respective larval food-plants and the plant species' corresponding habitats, it is evident that *P. saharae* and *P. machaon*, in general, occupy appreciably different biotopes, even climatically. In effect, the Köppen classification system (FAO, 1997) clearly demonstrates that the geographical locations in which the two species occur, fall within two distinct climate zones, respectively 'dry' for *saharae* and 'temperate' for *machaon*. However, the two species are also known to occur sympatrically in a number of locations, particularly in some parts of the Mediterranean where the two climatic zones tend to grade into one another; this leads one to surmise that *P. saharae* may be exploiting *ecological refugia* in enclaves harbouring environmentally apposite, albeit fragmented, habitats in Sicily. Such situation may well have come about as a response to changing and fluctuating climatic conditions, which would have triggered a southward retreat with the onset of the glacials, with a possible scenario of relict populations left stranded within climatically suitable ecological niches. This was probably the case of Papilio hospiton on Corsica and Sardinia, which, according to PITTAWAY et al. (1994), may well have speciated from an isolated P. saharae population. Similarly, Papilio saharae rathiensi in Arabia, was left stranded in mesic mountainous environments of the Asir region consequent to climatic change, in this case, the present interglacial (PITTAWAY, 1993). Although it is not the scope of this present communication to delve into speciation timeframes, it may be plausible to suggest that a geographically isolated Papilio saharae population in Sicily could well have adapted to a variable semi-arid to arid climatic regime typical of the Mediterranean biome and thus survived the fluctuating conditions of the glacials and interglacials of the Quaternary Period (encompassing both the Pleistocene geological epoch and current Holocene interglacial). Whether or not such population remained completely isolated or whether influxes of the species from northern Africa reinforced population numbers on the Mediterranean's largest island during postglacial dispersal (potentially rendering it a 'secondary contact zone'), remains to be seen.

The central Mediterranean, inclusive of the Pelagian Block on the the Siculo-Tunisian sill, was exposed to a complexity of dynamic and multifarious processes during the Quaternary Period's glacial and interglacial episodes, which have had a profound influence on patterns of vicariance and dispersal that subsequently shaped the region's biogeography. Whatever the actual status of *Papilio saharae* in the central Mediterranean, it is evident that more work is necessary, both in the field and in the laboratory, amongst other things, to enable more definitive identification of the species. This is especially critical given that, in some instances, adult individuals of both *P. machaon* and *P. saharae* tend to bear rather similar morphological characters, which can make determination somewhat challenging.

Acknowledgements — I am indebted to Elisabeth Conrad for assisting in the morphometric analysis and for suggesting improvements to the manuscript. Elisabeth, together with Paul Gatt, also accompanied me on the field visit; their company, both professional and personal, is most cherished. A special word of thanks is due to Sara Garcia Conrad for helping out with the tedious logistics involved in tabulating morphometric data. I am grateful to Adam Miles Cotton, Edwin Lanfranco and Charles Galea Bonavia for their insights, respectively, on various aspects relating to the Papilionidae of the Mediterranean, on the distribution of the larval host-plants listed in this manuscript, and, on environmental change in the Region during Quaternary glacials and interglacials. I would also like to thank Martin J. Ebejer for having so generously shared his field data from Oman, Guido Bonett for having taken the photographs of the Giarratana specimen, and, to Axel Hochkirch for procuring German-language texts on the species. Finally, I would like to extend my thanks to Charles and Ruth Galdies, with whom I am collaborating on separate but closely associated research relating to DNA analysis and biogeography.

REFERENCES

BENYAMINI D., 1984. The butterflies of the Sinai Peninsula (Lep., Rhopalocera). Nota Lepid., 7: 309-321.

- CLARKE C.A. & SHEPPARD P.M., 1956. A further report on the genetics of the *machaon* group of swallowtail butterflies. *Evolution*, 10: 103-112.
- CLARKE SIR CYRIL A. & LARSEN T.B., 1986. Speciation problems in the *Papilio machaon* group of butterflies. *Syst. Ent.*, 11: 175-181.
- FAO-SDRN, 1997. Koeppen's climate classification map. FAO's Environmental and Natural Resource Service (SDRN) Global Climate Maps Series. UN FAO SDRN Agrometeorology Group: http://www.fao.org/nr/climpag/globgrids/KC_classification_en.asp (Accessed: June 27, 2018).
- FAROULT V., 1917. Notes on captures of Algerian and Tunisian Lepidoptera. Novit, zool., 24: 318-322.
- HIGGINS L.G. & RILEY N.D., 1978. Die Tagfalter Europas und Nordwestafrikas. Verlag Paul Parey, Hamburg und Berlin. 377 pp.+60 pl.
- LARSEN T.B., 1983. Insects of Saudi Arabia; Lepidoptera, Rhopalocera (a monograph of the butterflies of Arabia). *Fauna of Saudi Arabia*, 5: 333-478.
- LARSEN T.B., 1984. The zoogeographical composition and distribution of Arabian butterflies (Lepidoptera; Rhopalocera). J. Biogeogr., 11: 119-158.
- LARSEN T.B., 1990. The Butterflies of Egypt. Apollo Books, American University Press, Cairo. 112 pp.+8 pl.
- LERAUT P., 2016. Butterflies of Europe and neighbouring regions. *N.A.P Ed.*, Verrières-le-Buisson. 1113 pp.+ 655 pl.+ 6000 ph.
- MEERMAN J. & BOOMSMA T., 1986. Der wenig bekannte Schwalbenschwanz aus Nordjemen, Papilio saharae rathjensi Warnecke, 1932 - Freilandbeobachtungen und Zucht (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). Ent. Zeit., 96 (13): 177-182.
- MOONEN J.J.M., 2012. Notes on the *Papilio machaon* group (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) from the Palaearctic Papilionidae collection of the Zoological Museum of Amsterdam. *Ent. Berich.*, 72(3): 184-186.
- OBERTHÜR C., 1879. Études d entomologie: Faunes entomologiques. Descriptions d insectes nouveaux ou peu connus. IV. - Catalogue raisonné des Papilionidae. Rennes, 117 pp., 6 pl.
- OBERTHÜR C., 1888. Faunes Entomologiques. Descriptions d'insectes nouveaux ou peu cunnus. III. Lépidoptères d'Europe et d'Algérie., *Étud. Ent.*, 12: 21-46.
- OBERTHÜR C., 1915. Faune des Lépidoptères de la Barbarie. Étud. Lép. comp., 10:7-459, pl. 276-297, 301, 302, 306-309.
- PELLECCHIA M., MARINI M. & SCALI V., 2002. Diversità mitocondriale nel Papilio machaon species complex (Papilionidae): evidenze dai geni CO I e NADH 4. Atti XIX Congr. naz. ital. Entomol.: 131-134.
- PIERRON M., 1990. Contribution a la connaissance de la biologie de *Papilio machaon saharae* Obth. Differences avec *Papilio machaon machaon* L. et hybridations experimentales (Lep. Papilionidae). *Alexanor*, 16 (6): 331-340.
- PITTAWAY A.R., 1985. Lepidoptera Rhopalocera from western Saudi Arabia. *Fauna of Saudi Arabia*, 7:172-197.
- PITTAWAY A.R., 1993: The Hawkmoths of the western Palaearctic. Harley Books, Colchester. 240 pp.
- PITTAWAY A.R., LARSEN T.B., CLARKE C.A., SMITH C.R., CRNJAR R. & CLARKE F.M.M., 1994. Papilio saharae Oberthür, 1879, specifically distinct from Papilio machaon Linnaeus, 1758 (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). Ent. Gazette, 45: 223-249.
- SEITZ A., 1908. Grossschmetterlinge der Erde, Vol. 1, Die palaearktischen Tagfalter. A. Kernen Verlag, Stuttgart. 379 pp.+89 pl.+3470 figs.
- SEYER H., 1974. Versuch einer Revision der Papilio machaon subspezies in der westlichen Palaarktis. Mitt. Ent. Ges. Basel, 24: 64-90, 93-117.

TARRIER M.R & DELACRE J., 2008. Les papillons de jour du Maroc. Guide d'identification et de bioindication. *Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle*, Paris; *Biotope*, Mèze, 480 pp.

TENNENT J., 1996. The Butterflies of Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia. *Gem Publishing Co.*, xxxvi+217 pp. TOLMAN T. & LEWINGTON R., 1998. Die Tagfalter Europas und Nordwestafrikas, übersetzt von M. Nuß, Franckh-Kosmos. *Verlags-GmbH & Co.*, Stuttgart.

TSHIKOLOVETS V.V., 2011. Butterflies of Europe & the Mediterranean area. *Tshikolovets Publ.*, 544 pp. TURATI E., 1924. Spedizione Lepidopterologica in Cirenaica 1921-22. *Atti Soc. ital. Sci. nat.*, 63: 21-129.

Author's Addresses - Institute of Earth Systems, University of Malta; louis.f.cassar@um.edu.mt